

PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie

Facility Type: Juvenile

Date Interim Report Submitted: NA

Date Final Report Submitted: 12/29/2025

Auditor Certification	
The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.	<input type="checkbox"/>
No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.	<input type="checkbox"/>
I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Auditor Full Name as Signed: Robert Burns Latham	Date of Signature: 12/29/2025

AUDITOR INFORMATION	
Auditor name:	Latham, Robert
Email:	robertblatham@icloud.com
Start Date of On-Site Audit:	10/06/2025
End Date of On-Site Audit:	10/07/2025

FACILITY INFORMATION	
Facility name:	Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie
Facility physical address:	1536 Bordelon Road, Bunkie, Louisiana - 71322
Facility mailing address:	

Primary Contact

Name:	Elizabeth Bordelon
Email Address:	elizabeth.bordelon@la.gov
Telephone Number:	3183466029

Superintendent/Director/Administrator	
Name:	Dedric Smith
Email Address:	dedric.smith3@la.gov
Telephone Number:	318-346-4019

Facility PREA Compliance Manager	
Name:	Elizabeth Bordelon
Email Address:	elizabeth.bordelon@la.gov
Telephone Number:	318-346-6029

Facility Health Service Administrator On-Site	
Name:	Deborah Ray FNP
Email Address:	DKRay@wellpath.us
Telephone Number:	318-346-0125

Facility Characteristics	
Designed facility capacity:	72
Current population of facility:	72
Average daily population for the past 12 months:	71
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	Yes
What is the facility's population designation?	Men/boys

Age range of population:	12-21
Facility security levels/resident custody levels:	secure care
Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with residents:	119
Number of individual contractors who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:	1
Number of volunteers who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:	0

AGENCY INFORMATION

Name of agency:	Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice
Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):	
Physical Address:	7919 Independence Boulevard, Baton Rouge, Louisiana - 70806
Mailing Address:	
Telephone number:	2252877900

Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:

Name:	Chad Wells
Email Address:	chad.wells@la.gov
Telephone Number:	(225) 287-7900

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information

Name:	Patsy Adams	Email Address:	patsy.adams@la.gov
--------------	-------------	-----------------------	--------------------

Facility AUDIT FINDINGS

Summary of Audit Findings

The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.

Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.

Number of standards exceeded:

0

Number of standards met:

43

Number of standards not met:

0

POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION

Please note: Question numbers may not appear sequentially as some questions are omitted from the report and used solely for internal reporting purposes.

GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION

On-site Audit Dates

1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2025-10-06
2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2025-10-07

Outreach

10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim advocates with whom you communicated:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Sexual Trauma Awareness and Response (STAR)• State of Louisiana Child Ombudsman• Just Detention International

AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION

14. Designated facility capacity:	72
15. Average daily population for the past 12 months:	71
16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units:	6

<p>17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)</p>
--	---

Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

<p>23. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>72</p>
<p>25. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>26. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>6</p>
<p>27. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>28. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>0</p>

<p>29. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>30. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>31. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>32. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>33. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>1</p>
<p>34. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>35. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations):</p>	<p>No text provided.</p>

Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit	
36. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	119
37. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	0
38. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	21
39. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	No text provided.
INTERVIEWS	
Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
40. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:	11

<p>41. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply)</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Race</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of time in the facility</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing assignment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Gender</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> None</p>
<p>42. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse?</p>	<p>Residents were interviewed from all open housing units and the infirmary.</p>
<p>43. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p>44. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):</p>	<p>The auditor was provided with a roster of residents confined on the first day of the onsite audit. The auditor selected residents from each housing unit with consideration given to age, race, ethnicity, gender, and length of time in the facility. Additionally, the auditor was provided with lists of residents for selecting targeted interviews.</p>
<p>Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</p>	
<p>45. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:</p>	<p>10</p>

As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".

<p>47. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>Corroboration strategies included interviewing staff and residents.</p>
<p>48. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>8</p>

<p>49. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>Corroboration strategies included interviewing staff and residents.</p>
<p>50. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>Corroboration strategies included interviewing staff and residents.</p>

<p>51. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>Corroboration strategies included interviewing staff and residents.</p>
<p>52. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>Corroboration strategies included interviewing staff and residents.</p>

<p>53. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>Corroboration strategies included interviewing staff and residents.</p>
<p>54. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:</p>	<p>1</p>
<p>55. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:</p>	<p>1</p>
<p>56. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>

<p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>Corroboration strategies included interviewing staff and residents.</p>
<p>57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews):</p>	<p>The auditor was provided with lists of residents for selecting targeted interviews. In addition to picking residents from the lists, the auditor corroborated the information provided by interviewing staff and residents and reviewing risk screening information and a sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.</p>
<p>Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews</p>	
<p>Random Staff Interviews</p>	
<p>58. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:</p>	<p>12</p>
<p>59. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply)</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of tenure in the facility</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shift assignment</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Work assignment</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rank (or equivalent)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> None</p>

If "Other," describe:	Gender, race, ethnicity, and languages spoken were considered.
60. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
61. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):	The auditor was provided a roster on the first day of the onsite audit. Staff were selected all housing units and from each shift. To enable a cross section of staff interviewed, the auditor considered, length of tenure in the facility, rank, work assignments, gender, race, ethnicity, and languages spoken.
Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews	
Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.	
62. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):	12
63. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
64. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
65. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No

66. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?

Yes

No

NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)

67. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)

- Agency contract administrator
- Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment
- Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable)
- Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable)
- Medical staff
- Mental health staff
- Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches
- Administrative (human resources) staff
- Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff
- Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations
- Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations
- Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
- Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation
- Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team
- Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation
- First responders, both security and non-security staff
- Intake staff

	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
68. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
69. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed:	2
b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> Security/detention <input type="checkbox"/> Education/programming <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental <input type="checkbox"/> Food service <input type="checkbox"/> Maintenance/construction <input type="checkbox"/> Other
70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff.	The auditor was provided a roster for staff and contractors.

SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTATION SAMPLING

Site Review

PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting the site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, important information gathered through observations, and any issues identified with facility practices. The information you collect through the site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information.

71. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Was the site review an active, inquiring process that included the following:	
72. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, cross-gender viewing and searches)?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
73. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
74. Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
75. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No

<p>76. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).</p>	<p>The auditor had access to all areas of the facility. During the site review the auditor had informal, conversations with residents and staff. The auditor tested the following critical functions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The facility’s process for securing interpretation services on-demand (1-World Language LLC) • Internal reporting methods for confined persons (grievance and Investigative Services Hotline) • External reporting methods for confined persons (Louisiana Ombudsman) • Access to outside emotional support services (Sexual Trauma Awareness and Response (STAR)) • Third-Party Reporting (Investigative Services Hotline)
<p>Documentation Sampling</p>	
<p>Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.</p>	
<p>77. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p>78. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).</p>	<p>The auditor selected documents for staff interviewed and additional documents though corrective action. Documents reviewed included personnel records and training records. The auditor reviewed documents for residents interviewed covering the 12-month audit period. Documents reviewed included intake records, initial risk assessments, risk reassessments, use of screening information and sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.</p>

SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

79. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual abuse allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	3	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	6	3	0	0
Total	9	3	0	0

80. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual harassment allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	1	0	1	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	4	3	1	0
Total	5	3	2	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for “convicted.”) Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

81. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	3	3	0	0
Total	0	3	3	0	0

82. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	2	1
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	3	3
Total	0	0	5	4

Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

83. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	3	3	0	0
Total	0	3	3	0	0

84. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	1	2	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	3
Total	0	1	2	3

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review

85. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/ sampled:

9

<p>86. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files</p>	
<p>87. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>88. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p>89. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files</p>	
<p>90. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p>	<p>6</p>
<p>91. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>

<p>92. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p>Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review</p>	
<p>93. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p>	<p>6</p>
<p>94. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files</p>	
<p>95. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>96. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations?</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p>97. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>

Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files	
98. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	4
99. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
100. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
101. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.	No text provided.
SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION	
DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff	
102. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No

Non-certified Support Staff

103. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.

Yes

No

AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND COMPENSATION

108. Who paid you to conduct this audit?

The audited facility or its parent agency

My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option)

A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm)

Other

Standards
<p>Auditor Overall Determination Definitions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard) • Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period) • Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)
<p>Auditor Discussion Instructions</p> <p>Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.</p>

115.311	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p>
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p>
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Policy, C.2.11: Prison Rape Elimination Act dated June 3, 2025 • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Organizational Chart dated February 26, 2023 • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Organizational Chart • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 15.311 (a) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:</p>

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
The agency has a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in facilities it operates directly or under contract. The facility has a policy outlining how it will implement the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The policy includes definitions of prohibited behaviors regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The policy includes sanctions for those found to have participated in prohibited behaviors. The policy includes a description of agency strategies and responses to reduce and prevent sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 6):
The Office of Juvenile Justice is committed to complying with all provisions of the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) "Prison Rape Elimination Act" (PREA) by establishing zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and provide for safe practices related to the prevention, detection, reduction, and punishment of all sexual assaults.

The policy outlines the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to such conduct. The policy, pages 46-49, Appendix A - Definitions, includes definitions of prohibited behaviors regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and sanctions for those found to have participated in prohibited behaviors. OJJ policies address prevention of sexual abuse and sexual harassment through appropriate hiring and staffing of facilities, the designation of an agency PREA coordinator, the designation of facility PREA compliance managers, staff supervision, identifying opportunities to separate and monitor sexually aggressive youth and potential victims, housing assignments, criminal background checks, staff training, resident education, PREA posters and educational materials and creating facility cultures that discourage sexual aggression, abuse and harassment. The policies address detection of sexual abuse and sexual harassment through resident education, providing specific treatment for youth with disabilities, providing protections for viewing and searches, staff training, and intake screening for risk of sexual victimization and abusiveness. The policies address responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment through increasing awareness of safe reporting mechanisms and available services to victims, continuing education of staff and youth, investigations, disciplinary sanctions for residents and staff, victim advocates, access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, sexual abuse incident reviews, data collection, and data review for corrective action.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.311 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
The agency employs or designates an upper-level, agency-wide PREA coordinator.

The PREA coordinator has sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards at the facility. The position of the PREA coordinator in the agency's organizational structure is PREA coordinator.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 7):

YS shall employ a PREA Coordinator who is responsible for developing, implementing, and overseeing PREA compliance Agency wide.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with the PREA coordinator:

The PREA Coordinator stated that they have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards. Interactions with PREA Compliance manager is accomplished through phone, email, Zoom and in person facility visits. Issues identified with complying with a PREA standard are addressed through corrective action as needed and a follow-up walk through is conducted to see if the facility is following through with the actions.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.311 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

The facility has designated a PREA compliance manager. The PREA compliance manager has sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards. The position of the PREA compliance manager in the agency's organizational structure as program consultant. The PREA compliance manager reports to the facility director.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 7):

Each facility shall designate a PREA Compliance Manager who shall be responsible for coordinating the facility's PREA compliance efforts.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with the PREA compliance manager:

The PREA compliance manager stated they are provided with sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

115.312	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:

- Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities)
- Contracts

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.312 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency has entered into or renewed a contract for the confinement of residents since the last PREA audit. All of the above contracts require contractors to adopt and comply with PREA Standards.

Since the last PREA audit:

- The number of contracts for the confinement of residents that the agency entered into or renewed with private entities or other government agencies: 14
- The number of above contracts that DID NOT require contractors to adopt and comply with PREA standards: 2

Contracts:

OJJ contracts for the confinement of its residents with private agencies or other entities include the following language, "Contractor will comply with the Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 (Federal Law 42. U.S.C. 15601 Et. Seq.), and with all applicable PREA Standards, YS Policies related to PREA, and Standards related to PREA for preventing, detecting, monitoring, investigating, and eradicating any form of sexual abuse within YS Facilities/Programs /Offices owned, operated or contracted."

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.312 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

- All of the above contracts require the agency to monitor the contractor's compliance with PREA Standards.
- Since the last PREA audit the number of the contracts referenced in 115.312 (a) that DO NOT require the agency to monitor contractor's compliance with PREA Standards: 0

	<p>Contracts: OJJ contracts for the confinement of its residents with private agencies or other entities include the following language, "Contractor acknowledges that, in addition to "self-monitoring requirements" YS will conduct announced or unannounced, compliance monitoring to include "on-site" monitoring. Failure to comply with PREA, including PREA Standards and YS Policies may result in termination of the contract. Additionally, Contractor will work with the Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Coordinator in scheduling audits in accordance with the agency audit cycle established by OJJ. Failure to pass the audit after any corrective action period will/may result in the cancellation of the contract. Contractor is required to comply with all applicable provisions of the Louisiana Children's Code."</p> <p>What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Interview with the PREA coordinator: The PREA Coordinator stated new and renewed contracts for confinement services are monitored to determine if the contractor complies with required PREA practices. PREA compliance results have been completed for each contract entered into agreement within the past 12 months. Contract facilities have completed and submitted PREA compliance results.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	--

115.313	Supervision and monitoring
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Acadiana Center for Youth 2023 Staffing Plan • Acadiana Center for Youth 2024 Staffing Plan • Acadiana Center for Youth 2025 Staffing Plan • Shift reports • Supervisory monitoring logs (unannounced rounds) • Interview with superintendent • Interview with PREA coordinator • Interview with PREA compliance manager • Interview with intermediate or higher-level facility staff <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision):</p>

115.313 (a)**What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:**

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency requires each facility it operates to develop, document, and make its best efforts to comply on a regular basis with a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing, and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against abuse.

- Since August 20, 2012, or last PREA audit, whichever is later, the average daily number of residents: 71
- Since August 20, 2012, or last PREA audit, whichever is later, the average daily number of residents on which the staffing plan was predicated: 71

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 7):

Each facility shall develop, implement, and document a staffing plan that provides for adequate level of staffing, and where applicable, video monitoring, to protect youth against all forms of abuse. In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, facilities shall take into consideration the following:

- Generally accepted juvenile detention and correctional secure residential practices;
- Any judicial findings of inadequacy;
- Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies;
- Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies;
- All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind spots" or areas where staff or youth may be isolated);
- The composition of the youth population;
- The number and placement of supervisory staff;
- Facility programs occurring on a particular shift;
- Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards;
- The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incident of sexual abuse;
- Any other relevant factors.

Review of staffing plan:

The auditor reviewed the Acadiana Center for Youth 2025 Staffing Plan and observed the plan is inclusive of the standard provision requirements. The evidence shows the facility develops, implements, and documents a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing, and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse. The staffing plan is well documented and provides for adequate levels of staffing.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with superintendent and PREA compliance manager:

The deputy director and PREA compliance manager both stated the facility regularly develops a staffing plan, maintains adequate staffing levels to protect residents

against sexual abuse, considers video monitoring as part of the plan, and documents the plan. When assessing staffing levels and the need for video monitoring, the staffing plan considers: generally accepted juvenile detention and correctional/secure residential practices; any judicial findings of inadequacy; any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies; any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies; all components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind spots" or areas where staff or residents may be isolated); the composition of the resident population; the number and placement of supervisory staff; institution programs occurring on a particular shift; any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards; the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse; and any other relevant factors.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.313 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Each time the staffing plan is not complied with, the facility documents and justifies all deviations from the staffing plan.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 7):

Facilities shall comply with the staffing plan except during limited, discrete exigent circumstances and shall fully document deviations from the plan during such circumstances. Only Security Staff are applicable in the staff to youth ratios. Deviations from staffing plans shall be fully documented.

Documentation of deviations from staffing plan:

There was one deviation reported. The auditor observed the deviation was documented through the shift report.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with superintendent:

The Deputy Director stated there has been one instance in which the facility was unable to meet the requirements of the staffing plan. This deviation was documented in the shift report and included an explanation for the non-compliance, specifically staff calling in.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.313 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The facility is obligated by law, regulation, or judicial consent decree to maintain staffing ratios of a minimum of 1:8 during resident waking hours and 1:16 during resident sleeping hours. The facility maintains staff ratios of a minimum of 1:8 during resident waking hours. The facility maintains staff ratios of a minimum of 1:16 during resident sleeping hours.

- In the past 12 months, the number of times the facility deviated from the staffing ratios of 1:8 security staff during resident waking hours: 1
- In the past 12 months, the number of times the facility deviated from the staffing ratios of 1:16 during resident sleeping hours: 0

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with superintendent:

The deputy director stated the ratios are 1:8 during waking hours and 1:16 during sleeping hours.

What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Site review:

During the site review, the auditor observed that areas where residents were present, including the school, living units, and gym, were in compliance with the required 1:8 and 1:16 staffing ratios.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.313 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

At least once every year the agency or facility, in collaboration with the PREA Coordinator, reviews the staffing plan to see whether adjustments are needed to:

- The staffing plan;
- Prevailing staffing patterns;
- The deployment of monitoring technology; or
- The allocation of agency or facility resources to commit to the staffing plan to ensure compliance with the staffing plan.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 7):

At least once per year, the agency in consultation with the PREA coordinator, shall assess, determine and document whether adjustments are necessary to the staffing plans and deployment of video monitoring and other monitoring systems for its secure care facilities. Upon completion of the Staffing Plan and attainment of all secure facility signatures, a copy shall be sent to the CO PREA Coordinator.

Annual staffing plan reviews:

The auditor reviewed the annual staffing plan assessments for 2023, 2024, and

2025 and observed that they include the standard provision requirements.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with PREA coordinator:

The PREA coordinator stated they are consulted regarding any assessments of, or adjustments to, the staffing plan.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.313 (e)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The facility requires that intermediate-level or higher-level staff conduct unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The facility documents unannounced rounds. The unannounced rounds cover all shifts. The facility prohibits staff from alerting other staff of the conduct of such rounds.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (pages 7-8):

YS Policy and facility Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) shall implement practices requiring intermediate or higher-level supervisors to conduct and document unannounced rounds on all shifts to identify and deter sexual abuse and sexual harassment, pursuant to YS Policy No. C.2.19. Documentation of all unannounced rounds by supervisors shall be documented on the Supervisory Monitoring Log.

YS Policy and Facility SOPs shall prohibit staff from alerting other staff of the occurrence of supervisory rounds unless it is related to legitimate operational functions. Any unusual events or observations made during the performance of an unannounced round must be documented on an Unusual Occurrence Report as indicated in YS Policy No. A.1.14 "Unusual Occurrence Report".

Review of documented unannounced rounds:

The auditor reviewed documented unannounced rounds for the audit period and observed they occur regularly on all shifts.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with intermediate or higher-level facility staff:

The supervisor stated they conduct unannounced rounds, the rounds are documented, and they stated they do not inform staff they are conducting unannounced rounds.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

115.315	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Interviews with random sample of staff • Interviews with random sample of residents <p>Evidence (corrective action):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposite gender announcement refresher training acknowledgements (December 2025) <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.315 (a) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The facility does not conduct cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches of residents.</p> <p>In the past 12 months there were no cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches of residents: 0</p> <p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 8): Cross-gender strip searches or visual body cavity searches are prohibited except in exigent circumstances or when performed by medical practitioners.</p> <p>What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence: The auditor observed the search area is not under video surveillance and doesn't allow for cross-gender viewing. Staff explained the searches process and confirmed that searches are completed by staff of the same gender as the resident being searched.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p> <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.315 (b) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The facility does not permit cross-gender pat-down searches of residents, absent exigent circumstances.</p>

In the past 12 months there were no cross-gender pat-down searches of residents.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 8):

Cross-gender pat-down searches are prohibited unless exigent circumstances are present and shall be justified and documented on a UOR when they occur.

Review of logs of cross-gender pat down searches of residents to identify documentation of exigent circumstances:

The auditor observed no documented cross-gender searches.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with 21 random residents:

All 21 residents interviewed stated no staff of the opposite gender have performed a pat-down search of their body.

Interviews with 12 random staff:

All 12 staff interviewed stated they are restricted from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches. No staff interviewed provided an example of a circumstance that would warrant such a search other than an emergency.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.315 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Facility policy requires that all cross-gender strip searches, cross-gender visual body cavity searches, and cross-gender pat-down searches be documented and justified.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 8):

Pursuant to YS Policy Nos. B.2.20 and C.2.3, when cross-gender searches occur they must be justified and documented on an Unusual Occurrence Report (UOR) by the employee conducting the search and a witness to the search.

Review of documentation, including justification, of cross-gender strip searches, cross-gender visual body cavity searches, and all cross-gender pat-down searches of residents:

The auditor observed no documented cross-gender searches.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.315 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The facility has implemented policies and procedures that enable residents to

shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks (this includes viewing via video camera). Policies and procedures require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering a resident housing unit or area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 8):

YS policies and secure care facility SOPs shall be implemented that enable youths to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing private body parts except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks.

YS policies, facility SOPs and contract facility SOPs shall provide procedures for cross-gender staff announcing their entrance into housing areas

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with 21 random residents:

- Ten residents interviewed stated staff of the opposite gender announce their presence when entering a housing unit that houses residents of the opposite gender.
- Eleven residents interviewed stated staff of the opposite gender announce their presence when entering a housing unit that houses residents of the opposite gender.
- All 21 residents interviewed stated they are able to dress, shower and performing bodily functions without being viewed by staff of the opposite gender.

Interviews with 12 random staff:

- Ten staff interviewed stated they or other officers announce their presence when entering a housing unit that houses residents of the opposite gender (from themselves).
- Two staff interviewed stated they or other officers do not announce their presence when entering a housing unit that houses residents of the opposite gender (from themselves).
- All 12 staff interviewed stated residents able to dress, shower, and use the toilet without being viewed by staff of the opposite gender.

What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Site review:

The auditor observed residents shower and change clothing in an individual shower with a shower curtain and door for privacy. Additionally, the auditor observed cross-gender announcements.

Finding:

	<p>Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is completed.</p> <p>The facility conducted refresher training on opposite-gender announcements during the month of December and provided signed acknowledgment forms. The auditor observed that approximately 85 staff members received this training.</p> <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.315 (e) N/A</p> <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.315 (f) N/A</p>
--	--

115.316	Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Policy, B.8.5: Language Assistance Services dated May 7, 2024 • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Memorandum of Understanding between the Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice and 1-World Language LLC • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Youth PREA Orientation PowerPoint • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Youth Safety Guide pamphlet • “No Means No” poster • Systems test: access to interpreter example • Interview with agency head • Interviews with random sample of staff • Interviews with residents (with disabilities or who are limited English proficient) <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.316 (a)</p> <p>What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency has established procedures to provide disabled residents equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment.</p>

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 9):

Youth with disabilities shall have an equal opportunity to participate in and benefit from all aspects of YS's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When necessary, to ensure effective communication, youth with hearing deficits shall be provided an interpreter. Written materials shall be provided in a format which ensures effective communication with youth with disabilities. YS is not mandated to take steps which would result in a fundamental alteration in a service program or activity or in undue financial and administrative burdens in accordance with Title II of the American with Disabilities Act (ADA).

What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Site review discussions and observations:

The facility has procedures to provide disabled residents equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The procedures include providing services to residents who: are deaf or hard of hearing; are blind or have low vision; have intellectual disabilities; have psychiatric disabilities; have sensory disabilities; or have physical disabilities.

The auditor observed that PREA orientation is conducted individually with each resident. Residents with low vision, intellectual disabilities, psychiatric disabilities, or limited reading skills receive the information verbally in a manner that promotes comprehension. Staff also ensure that residents with speech disabilities are able to demonstrate their understanding of the PREA material. Special education teachers and mental health staff are available, as needed, to provide additional support and accommodations. Additionally, the agency has a contract with the Louisiana Special School District to provide special education and related services.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with agency head:

The Deputy Secretary stated the agency has established procedures to provide disabled residents equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Interviews with residents (with disabilities or who are limited English proficient):

Four residents with intellectual disabilities were interviewed during the onsite phase of the audit and reported that they did not require assistance to understand PREA information. Additionally, three residents with psychiatric disabilities were interviewed and reported that they did not need assistance.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.316 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency has established procedures to provide residents with limited English proficiency equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 9):

Youth who are limited English proficient shall have meaningful access to all aspects of YS' efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with residents who are limited English proficient:

No residents were identified as limited English proficient during the onsite phase of the audit.

What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Systems test of interpreter services:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie provides interpreter services through a memorandum of understanding with the Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice and 1-World Language. The auditor reviewed the facility's ability to provide interpreter services with the assistance of the PREA Coordinator. The PREA Coordinator provided an example of the process she facilitates to obtain interpreter services when needed.

Site review:

The auditor further observed the Youth PREA Orientation PowerPoint, Youth Safety Guide pamphlet, and "No Means No" poster are available in both English and Spanish.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.316 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Agency policy prohibits use of resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.364, or the investigation of the resident's allegations. The agency or facility documents the limited circumstances in individual cases where resident interpreters, readers, or other types of resident assistants are used.

In the past 12 months, the number of instances where resident interpreters, readers, or other types of resident assistants have been used and it was not the case that an extended delay in obtaining another interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.364, or the

	<p>investigation of the resident’s allegations: 0</p> <p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 9): Youth interpreters, readers or assistants shall not be relied upon except where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise a youth’s safety, the performance of first-responder duties under US DOJ PREA Standard 115.364, or the investigation of the youth’s allegations. Translation services will be provided through outside contractors and resources.</p> <p>What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Interviews with 12 random staff: No staff interviewed had any knowledge of resident interpreters, resident readers, or any other types of resident assistants being used in relation to allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	---

115.317	Hiring and promotion decisions
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Questionnaires for New Staff and Contractors form • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Criminal Record Check Requests • Louisiana Department of Children and Family Services State Central Registry Disclosures • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Reference Checks form • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Questionnaires • Interview with administrative (human resources) staff <p>Evidence (corrective action):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PREA Questionnaires for New Staff and Contractors corrective action statement (11/26/2025) • PREA Reference Checks corrective action statement (12/02/2025) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Criminal Record Check Requests (12/19/2025)

- Louisiana Department of Children and Family Services State Central Registry clearances (12/9/2025)

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.317 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Agency policy prohibits hiring or promoting anyone who may have contact with residents, and prohibits enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents, who:

- Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997);
- Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or
- Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 9):

Job applicants and contractors shall NOT be hired, or services contracted for if the applicant/contractor has:

1. Engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility or juvenile facility or other institution as defined in federal law. (42 USC 1997)
2. Been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community using force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or
3. Been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in any activity described in subparagraph b. above.

Review of files of persons hired or promoted in the past 12 months to determine whether questions regarding past conduct were asked and answered:

The auditor reviewed the PREA Questionnaires for New Staff and Contractors for the staff interviewed and observed that the facility asks the three required PREA questions regarding prior misconduct at the time of hire. However, several applicable examples were not available for staff interviewed, requiring corrective action.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with administrative (human resources) staff:

The human resources staff stated the facility asks all applicants and employees

about previous misconduct when hiring new employees.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is completed.

The PREA Coordinator provided a statement indicating that PREA questionnaires for new staff and contractors were not available for individuals hired prior to 2023. Human Resources staff have since been trained to complete the questionnaires, and the questionnaires are now being conducted. The statement is dated November 26, 2025.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.317 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Agency policy requires the consideration of any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with residents.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 10):

The agency shall consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with inmates. The agency shall ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described above in written applications or interviews for hiring. (28 CFR § 115.17)

Document review:

The auditor observed the a PREA Questionnaire for New Staff and Contractors includes consideration of incidents of sexual harassment.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with administrative (human resources) staff:

The human resources staff stated the facility considers prior incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with the residents.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.317 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Agency policy requires that before it hires any new employees who may have contact with residents, it (a) conducts criminal background record checks, (b) consults any child abuse registry maintained by the State or locality in which the employee would work; and (c) consistent with Federal, State, and local law, makes

its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse.

During the past 12 months:

- The number of persons hired who may have contact with residents who have had criminal background record checks: 58
- The percent of persons hired who may have contact with residents who have had criminal background record checks: 100%

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 10):

Prior to hiring new employees, the following checks shall occur:

1. The Unit's HR Liaison shall perform a criminal background check pursuant to YS Policy No. A.2.18;
DPS HR will send a list of newly hired OJJ employees to DCFS on the first and the fifteenth of each month for the purpose of conducting Child Abuse Registry checks. Should the designated date fall on a non-working day, the list will be sent on the closest regularly scheduled work day immediately preceding the designated send date. In the event a violation OJJ will be immediately notified, otherwise a quarterly review of submissions will be sent to the Undersecretary, Deputy Undersecretary or designee(s) of the results for record keeping and auditing purposes.
2. Notification of hire must be received in HR no later than 12pm on the last working day prior to the list being sent to DCFS for screening. Should notification be received in HR of a pending new hire after this deadline, or the number of allowable submissions to DCFS have been exceeded, that applicant will be added to a future submission; and
3. Consistent with law, PSS/HR shall additionally use their best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or resignation during a pending investigation of allegation of sexual abuse. (28 CFR § 115.17)

Review of files of staff interviewed and personnel hired in the past 12 months to determine that the agency has completed checks consistent with 115.317(c):
The auditor reviewed the Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Criminal Record Check Requests for the staff interviewed and found that the initial criminal background record checks were completed in accordance with the requirements of the standard.

The auditor reviewed the A Louisiana Department of Children and Family Services State Central Registry Disclosures for the interviewed staff and observed that these checks were conducted consistent with standard provisions.

The auditor reviewed the Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Reference Checks

form and observed that it contains questions consistent with the standard requirements for obtaining information regarding substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or resignations during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse. The auditor requested one applicable example for the employee interviewed who had prior institutional employment; however, the facility did not provide the form. Corrective action was required.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with administrative (human resources) staff:

The human resources staff stated the agency performs criminal background record checks and considers pertinent civil or administrative adjudications for all newly hired employees who may have contact with the residents and all employees, who may have contact with residents who are being considered for promotions. They also confirmed the facility consults with the Louisiana Department of Children and Family Services State Central Registry.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is completed.

The PREA Compliance Manager provided a statement indicating that the PREA reference check for one staff member with prior institutional employment could not be located. Human Resources staff will be trained to complete PREA reference checks in accordance with the standard provision requirements. The statement is dated December 2, 2025.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.317 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Agency policy requires that a criminal background records check be completed, and applicable child abuse registries consulted before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents.

During the past 12 months:

- The number of contracts for services where criminal background record checks were conducted on all staff covered in the contract who might have contact with residents: 1
- The percent of contracts for services where criminal background record checks were conducted on all staff covered in the contract who might have contact with residents: 100%

Records of background checks of contractors who might have contact with residents:

The auditor requested criminal record check requests for two contracted staff interviewed and four additional personnel to enable compliance determination. Two criminal background records were initially provided, and the remaining four were

completed through corrective action.

The auditor requested Louisiana Department of Children and Family Services State Central Registry clearances for the same contracted staff. The clearances were completed through corrective action.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with administrative (human resources) staff:

The human resources staff stated the facility performs criminal background record checks and considers pertinent civil or administrative adjudications for all contractors who may have contact with the residents and all contractors, who may have contact with residents who are being considered for promotions. Additionally, the facility consults with the Louisiana Department of Children and Family Services State Central Registry.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is completed.

- **The additional criminal background record checks were provided, with the final documentation dated December 19, 2025.**
- **Louisiana Department of Children and Family Services State Central Registry clearances were completed on December 9, 2025, for 20 contracted Wellpath medical and mental health staff.**

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.317 (e)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Agency policy requires that either criminal background records checks be conducted at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or that a system is in place for otherwise capturing such information for current employees.

The PREA Coordinator provided a statement dated November 12, 2025, that includes a detailed description of the background check monitoring system. An initial criminal background check is completed for all staff, and individuals are entered into the Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS). AFIS is a computerized system used by law enforcement and government agencies to store and compare fingerprint data, allowing for the identification and verification of individuals through digital fingerprint records. Once staff are entered into AFIS, an automatic notification process known as 'Rap Back' is initiated. The Rap Back Service provides continuous monitoring of an individual's criminal history following the initial background check. Notifications are received by the Department of Public Safety (DPS) and forwarded to the Office of Juvenile Justice. Through this system, staff, contractors, and other applicable individuals are subject to ongoing criminal history monitoring to ensure continued compliance with background check requirements.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with administrative (human resources) staff:

The PREA coordinator stated the agency has in place a system that alerts agency staff of an arrest.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.317 (f)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 10):

Job applicants and employees shall be asked directly about previous misconduct described in Section II.F.1.a - c above in written applications, interviews for hiring or promotions, and in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees.

Review of employee records:

The auditor reviewed Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Questionnaires for the staff interviewed and observed the facility asks all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents about prior misconduct during the hiring and promotion process, as well as through written self-evaluations and interviews conducted for current employees.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.317 (g)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Agency policy states that material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, shall be grounds for termination.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 9):

Employees have a continuing duty to disclose any such misconduct; material omissions or providing materially false information shall be grounds for termination.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.317 (h)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 10):

Employees designated to respond to requests from an institutional employer for

	<p>whom a former employee has applied to work, shall provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving the former employee.</p> <p>What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Interview with administrative (human resources) staff: The human resources staff stated the agency would provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	--

115.318	Upgrades to facilities and technologies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Interview with agency head • Interview with superintendent • Site Review <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.318 (a) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency or facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion or modification to existing facilities since the last PREA audit.</p> <p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 11): All designing, acquiring, renovations, additions, and new construction shall be of a design that facilitates direct contact between youth and staff, while considering the agency's ability to protect youth from sexual abuse.</p> <p>What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Interviews with the agency head and superintendent: The Deputy Secretary and Deputy Director both stated the facility would consider the ability to protect residents from sexual abuse when designing or acquiring any new facility and in planning any substantial expansion or modification of existing</p>

facilities. Also, the agency would consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.318 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency or facility has installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since the last PREA audit.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 11):

When installing or updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, the agency shall consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect youth from sexual abuse.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with the agency head and superintendent:

The Deputy Secretary and Deputy Director both stated that when installing or updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, the agency considers how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse. The Deputy Director indicated that recent updates have consisted of repairing damaged cameras rather than making substantive changes to the monitoring system.

What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Site review:

The auditor observed the video monitoring system.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

115.321	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities)

- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025
- Louisiana Laws Revised Statutes Title 13 - Courts and Judicial Procedure §13:5713. Duties; autopsies and investigations
- National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations dated 09/2024
- Memorandum of agreement between Acadiana Center for Youth and Sexual Trauma Awareness and Response (STAR) dated April 14, 2022
- Email correspondence with STAR
- Interview with PREA compliance manager
- Interviews with a random sample of staff
- Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.321 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

- The Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Department of Investigative Services (IS) is responsible for administrative and criminal investigations of allegations of sexual abuse.
- When conducting a sexual abuse investigation, the investigators follow a uniform evidence protocol.

Review of uniform evidence protocol:

The auditor reviewed the National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations dated 09/2024 and observed investigators follow a uniform evidence protocol.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with 12 random staff:

Staff interviewed stated they are knowledgeable of the agency's protocol for obtaining usable physical evidence if a resident alleges sexual abuse. They also stated that Investigative Services is responsible for conducting sexual abuse investigations.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.321 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The protocol is developmentally appropriate for youth. The protocol was adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the DOJ's Office on Violence Against Women publication, 'A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents,' or similarly comprehensive and authoritative

protocols developed after 2011.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 11):
Investigative Services (IS) shall follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions, pursuant to YS Policy Nos. A.1.4 and C.4.6.

The protocol shall be developmentally appropriate for youth and as appropriate, shall be adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. DOJ's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011.

Review of uniform evidence protocol:

The auditor reviewed the National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations dated 09/2024 and observed the protocol is developmentally appropriate for youth.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.321 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The facility offers all residents who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations. Forensic medical examinations are offered without financial cost to the victim. Where possible, examinations are conducted by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs). When SANEs or SAFEs are not available, a qualified medical practitioner performs forensic medical examinations.

- The number of forensic medical exams conducted during the past 12 months: 0
- The number of exams performed by SANEs/SAFEs during the past 12 months: N/A
- The number of exams performed by a qualified medical practitioner during the past 12 months: N/A

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (pages 11-12):

Youth who experience sexual abuse shall have access to forensic medical examinations, without financial cost where evidentiary or medically appropriate. Such examinations shall be performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible.

If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, the examination can be performed by other qualified medical practitioners. The CHP shall document its efforts to provide

SAFEs or SANEs and forward that documentation to the youth's Case Manager for entry into JETS.

Louisiana Laws Revised Statutes Title 13 - Courts and Judicial Procedure §13:5713. Duties; autopsies and investigations the coroner or his designee shall examine all alleged victims of a sexually-oriented criminal offense. The coroner may select the hospital or healthcare provider named as the lead entity for sexual assault examinations in the regional plan required by R.S.40:1216.1 as his designee to perform the forensic medical examination.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.321 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The facility makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to the victim, in person or by other means. These efforts are documented. If and when a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, the facility provides a qualified staff member from a community-based organization or a qualified agency staff member.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 10):

Every attempt shall be made to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to the victim. If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, a qualified staff member from a community-based organization or a qualified Agency staff member shall be made available to provide these services.

Efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers shall be documented by the CHP. A rape crisis center that is part of a governmental unit may be utilized as long as the center is not part of the criminal justice system (such as a law enforcement Agency), and offers a comparable level of confidentiality as a nongovernmental entity that provides similar victim services. Documented efforts shall be forwarded by the CHP to the youth's Case Manager for entry into JETS.

Review of memorandum of understanding between Acadiana Center for Youth and Sexual Trauma Awareness and Response (STAR) dated April 14, 2022:

The auditor reviewed the memorandum of understanding and observed that the agreement provides for a victim advocate to be made available to victims of sexual abuse; however, the memorandum is out of date. The facility provided email correspondence documenting that services will continue to be provided by STAR; however, STAR has elected not to renew the memorandum of understanding, and a new MOU will not be entered into.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with PREA compliance manager:

The PREA compliance manager stated the facility makes a qualified victim advocate available from STAR.

Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse:

There were three residents who reported sexual abuse present during the onsite phase of the audit. One of these residents consented to be interviewed. The resident stated they did not require or request victim advocate services.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.321 (e)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

If requested by the victim, a victim advocate, or qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompanies and supports the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews and provides emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with PREA compliance manager:

The PREA compliance manager stated if requested by the victim, a victim advocate from STAR will accompany a victim and provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals during the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews.

Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse:

See 115.321 (d).

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.321 (f)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Department of Investigative Services (IS) is responsible for administrative and criminal investigating allegations of sexual abuse and does not rely on another agency to conduct these investigations.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

115.322	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <hr/> <p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Policy, A.1.4: Investigative Services dated February 1, 2024 • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Interview with agency head <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.322 (a) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency ensures that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.</p> <p>In the past 12 months:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment that were received: 8 • The number of allegations resulting in an administrative investigation: 8 • The number of allegations referred for criminal investigation: 8 <p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 13): An administrative or criminal investigation shall be completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment pursuant to YS Policy No. A.1.4.</p> <p>Review of documentation of reports of sexual abuse and harassment and documentation of investigations, including full investigative reports with findings: The auditor reviewed nine completed investigative reports with findings. The facility reported one additional investigation that remains open. The reports reviewed included one unfounded and three unsubstantiated allegations of staff-on-resident sexual abuse; one unsubstantiated allegation of resident-on-resident sexual abuse; one unsubstantiated allegation of staff-on-resident sexual harassment; one unsubstantiated allegation of resident-on-resident sexual harassment; three substantiated allegations of staff-on-resident sexual harassment; and one unfounded allegation of staff-on-resident sexual harassment.</p> <p>What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Interview with agency head: The Deputy Secretary stated the agency ensures that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.</p>

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.322 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency has a policy that requires that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment be referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, including the agency if it conducts its own investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 13):

Allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment shall be referred to IS for investigation. The IS policy shall be published on the Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) website at <http://www.ojj.la.gov/>.

Review of policy published on the agency's website:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Policy, A.1.4: Investigative Services dated February 1, 2024, is published on the OJJ website under the URL <https://ojj.la.gov/page/policies>. The Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Department of Investigative Services has the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.322 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Review of policy published on the agency's website:

The publication states the Louisiana Office of Juvenile Department of Investigative Services (IS) is responsible for administrative and criminal investigations of allegations of sexual abuse.

Review of documentation of referrals of allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment:

See 115.322 (a).

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

115.331	Employee training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:

- Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities)
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Training Curriculum
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Class Attendance Roster
- Staff Confirmation of Receipt of PREA
- Interviews with random sample of staff

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.331 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency trains all employees who may have contact with residents on the eleven required topics.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 14):

Prior to having contact with youth, all staff shall be trained on how to recognize the signs of injurious sexual conduct, and understand their responsibility in the detection, prevention, investigation, and reporting of sexual abuse and sexual harassment during new employee orientation and annual in-service training.

Training topics shall consist of, but not be limited to, the following:

1. The policy of zero-tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
2. Fulfilling their responsibilities regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection and reporting, including relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities;
3. Youths' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
4. Youths' and employees' right to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
5. The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in juvenile facilities;
6. Common reactions of juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including isolation, depression, etc.;
7. Detecting and responding to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse; sexually aggressive behavior and how to distinguish between consensual sexual contact and sexual abuse between youth;
8. Avoiding inappropriate relationships with youth;
9. Communicating effectively and professionally with youth, including those who are lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, questioning (LGBTIQ), or gender nonconforming;
10. Relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent; and
11. Awareness and enforcing of policies and procedures regarding sexual

conduct of youth

Review of training curriculum:

The auditor reviewed the Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Training Curriculum and observed the curriculum includes all of the topics required by the standard provision.

Review of staff training records:

The auditor reviewed 18 Staff Confirmation of Receipts of PREA for 2025 for staff interviewed.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with 12 random staff:

All 12 staff interviewed stated they receive training annually.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.331 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Training is tailored to the unique needs and attributes and gender of the residents at the facility. Employees who are reassigned from facilities housing the opposite gender are given additional training.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 15):

Training shall be tailored to the unique needs and attributes of youth of juvenile facilities and to the gender of the youth at the employee's facility. The employee shall receive additional training if the employee is reassigned from a facility that houses only male youths to a facility that houses only female youths, or vice versa.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.331 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Between trainings the agency provides employees who may have contact with residents with refresher information about current policies regarding sexual abuse and harassment. The frequency with which employees who may have contact with residents receive refresher training on PREA requirements: Annually

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 15):

All current employees shall be provided with annual refresher training on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures pursuant to YS Policy

	<p>No. A.2.24.</p> <p>Review of staff training records: See 115.331 (a).</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p> <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.331 (d) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency documents that employees who may have contact with residents understand the training they have received through employee signature or electronic verification.</p> <p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 15): Employee attendance and understanding of the training provided shall be documented, through employee signature on the “Staff Confirmation of Receipt” [see Attachment C.2.11 (b)], as well as entry into the “Training Records Entry Database” (TREC) pursuant to YS Policy No. A.2.24. Signed receipts shall be forwarded to PSS/HR to be filed in the employee’s personnel file.</p> <p>Review of staff training records: See 115.331 (a). Training is documented with staff signature.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	--

115.332	Volunteer and contractor training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Contract Provider/Volunteer Confirmation of Receipt of PREA • Online PREA Course Acknowledgements • NIC Certificate for PREA: Behavioral Health Care for Sexual Assault Victims in a Correctional Setting • Interviews with volunteers or contractors who have contact with residents

Evidence (corrective action):

- Contract Provider/Volunteer Confirmation of Receipt of PREA (12/19/2025)

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.332 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

All volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response.

The number of volunteers and contractors, who have contact with residents, who have been trained in agency's policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response: 3

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 15):

Volunteers and contractors who have contact with youths shall be trained on their responsibilities under the Agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures. The level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with youth, but all volunteers and contractors who have contact with youth shall be notified of the Agency's zero- tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents.

Review of training records of volunteers and contractors:

The auditor requested documented evidence of completion of training for contractors and volunteers under § 115.332 for two medical and mental health staff interviewed, as well as additional examples for four randomly selected contracted medical and mental health staff. Three Contract Provider/Volunteer Confirmation of Receipt of PREA forms were provided for review. The final three were completed through corrective action.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with volunteers or contractors who have contact with residents:

The auditor interviewed two contracted medical and mental health staff. Both staff stated they have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response.

The facility does not currently have volunteers.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is completed.

The facility provided the final three training documents, with the last document received on December 19, 2025.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.332 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents, but all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents shall be notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 15):

the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with youth.

Review of training records of volunteers and contractors:

See 115.332 (a).

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.332 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency maintains documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 11):

The PREA Compliance Manager shall maintain documentation confirming the volunteers/interns/contractors understand the zero-tolerance policy training they received.

Review of training records of volunteers and contractors:

See 115.332 (a). Staff sign the Contract Provider/Volunteer Confirmation of Receipt of PREA form to acknowledge receipt and understanding of the training.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

115.333	Resident education
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:

- Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities)
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Policy, B.8.5: Language Assistance Services dated May 7, 2024
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025
- Memorandum of Understanding between the Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice and 1-World Language LLC
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Youth PREA Orientation PowerPoint
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Youth Safety Guide pamphlet
- “No Means No” poster
- Break the Silence Poster
- Youth Confirmation of Receipt of PREA forms
- Interview with intake staff
- Interviews with random sample of residents

Evidence (Corrective Action):

- Statement regarding updating intake to include a discussion of posters, telephone prompt instructions, and emotional support services (12/02/2025)

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.333 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: Residents receive information at time of intake about the zero-tolerance policy and how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. This information is provided in an age-appropriate fashion.

Of residents admitted during the past 12 months, the number who were given this information at intake: 70

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 18):

Upon admission to a YS secure care or contracted facility, youth shall receive: Information in an age appropriate fashion explaining the zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Review of intake records of residents:

The auditor reviewed 18 Youth Confirmation of Receipt of PREA forms for residents interviewed. The forms indicate all of the residents interviewed received the information at intake.

What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Process Observation:

The Social Services Supervisor demonstrated the intake process. Residents sign the Youth Confirmation of Receipt of PREA form to document receipt of required PREA

information. Residents are also provided a copy of the Youth Safety Guide pamphlet during intake, which includes information regarding the agency's zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment and instructions on how to report incidents or suspicions.

The Social Services Supervisor also demonstrated how the Youth PREA Orientation PowerPoint is reviewed with each resident. The PowerPoint includes information on basic rules, maintaining healthy relationships, safety, personal responsibility, reporting options, the grievance system, steps taken if a sexual assault were to occur, follow-up care, false allegations, disciplinary sanctions, sexually transmitted diseases, and facts related to sexual abuse.

Through corrective action, the facility enhanced the intake process to include discussion of PREA posters, telephone prompt instructions, and available emotional support services. A statement documenting this enhancement was provided, dated December 2, 2025.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not completed.

A statement documenting the updated intake information was provided, dated December 2, 2025.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.333 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Of residents admitted during the past 12 months, the number who received such education within 10 days of intake: 70

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 18):

Within two (2) days, but no more than ten (10) days of direct admission, comprehensive age-appropriate education shall be provided to youth by showing the OJJ designed PowerPoint presentation regarding their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment and to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents, and Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents pursuant to YS Policy No. B.2.3. The PowerPoint presentation shall include information to teach youth how to:

1. Avoid risky situations related to sexual assault;
2. Safely report rape or sexually inappropriate behavior;
3. Obtain counseling services and/or medical assistance if victimized;
and
4. Evaluate the risks and potential consequences for engaging in any type of sexual contact while in the facility.

Review of education materials:

Refer to 115.333 (a). Process Observation

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with 21 random residents:

All residents interviewed stated they were told about their right not to be sexually abused and sexually harassed, how to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment, and their right not to be punished for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment. They stated they received PREA education upon admission to the facility, during intake.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.333 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

All residents were educated within 10 days of intake.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 18):

Upon transfer to a different facility, youth shall be briefed on the same information required by provision (b) within the same time parameters.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with intake staff:

The Social Services Supervisor stated residents have been educated on the agency's zero-tolerance policy on sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.333 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency shall provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, as well as to residents who have limited reading skills.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 18):

The Agency shall provide youth education in formats accessible to all youth, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, as well as to youths who have limited reading skills.

What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Site review:

The facility has procedures to provide disabled residents equal opportunity to

participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The procedures include providing services to residents who: are deaf or hard of hearing; are blind or have low vision; have intellectual disabilities; have psychiatric disabilities; have sensory disabilities; or have physical disabilities.

The auditor observed that PREA orientation is conducted individually with each resident. Residents with low vision, intellectual disabilities, psychiatric disabilities, or limited reading skills receive the information verbally in a manner that promotes comprehension. Staff also ensure that residents with speech disabilities are able to demonstrate their understanding of the PREA material. Special education teachers and mental health staff are available, as needed, to provide additional support and accommodations. Additionally, the agency has a contract with the Louisiana Special School District to provide special education and related services.

The auditor further observed that the facility has access to interpreter services through a memorandum of understanding with the Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice and 1-World Language. The Youth PREA Orientation PowerPoint, Youth Safety Guide pamphlet, and "No Means No" poster are available in both English and Spanish.

Systems test of interpreter services:

The auditor reviewed the facility's ability to provide interpreter services with the assistance of the PREA Coordinator. The PREA Coordinator provided an example of the process she facilitates to obtain interpreter services when needed.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.333 (e)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency maintains documentation of resident participation in PREA education sessions.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 19):

The agency shall maintain documentation of a youth's participation in these education sessions. Secure care staff shall ensure the youth signs a "Youth Confirmation of Receipt" during the orientation/admission process, and files it in the youth's hard copy Master Record under Clip VIII. Secure care staff shall ensure youth signs a "Youth Confirmation of Receipt" form again upon transfer to a different facility as indicated in Section V.A.4.

Review of documentation of resident participation in education sessions:

The auditor reviewed resident participation in intake education is documented with the Youth Confirmation of Receipt of PREA form.

	<p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p> <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.333 (f) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency ensures that key information about the agency’s PREA policies is continuously and readily available or visible through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats.</p> <p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 19): In addition to providing education sessions, the facility will ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to youth through posters, student rule books, or other written formats.</p> <p>What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence: Site review: The auditor observed that residents are provided the Youth Safety Guide pamphlet in both English and Spanish. The pamphlet includes information regarding the agency’s zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment, as well as instructions for reporting incidents or suspicions. The auditor also observed that the “Break the Silence” and “No Means No” posters are consistent, accessible to residents, and posted in close proximity to telephones. The “No Means No” posters are available in both English and Spanish.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	--

115.334	Specialized training: Investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Staff Confirmation of Receipt of PREA • National Institute of Corrections Certificate for PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting • Interview with investigative staff

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.334 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
Agency policy requires that investigators are trained in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 16):
Investigators shall have received training in conducting sex abuse investigations in confinement settings.

Review of training records/logs of investigative staff:

The auditor reviewed annual training required by PREA Standard 115.331, documented through the Staff Confirmation of Receipt of PREA and the NIC certificate for PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting. The training was completed by an investigator with the Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Department of Investigative Services.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with investigative staff:

The investigator stated they received training specific to conducting sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations in confinement settings. They stated they received the training required by §115.331 and completed the specialized training topics.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.334 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 16):
Specialized training shall include techniques for interviewing juvenile sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral, pursuant to YS Policy No. A.1.4.

Review of training records/logs of investigative staff:
See 115.334 (a).

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with investigative staff:
See 115.334 (a).

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

	<p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.334 (c) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency maintains documentation showing that investigators have completed the required training. The number of investigators currently employed who have completed the required training: 1</p> <p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 16): required training shall be documented in TREC, Success Factors and/or Corner Stone.</p> <p>Review of training records/logs of investigative staff: See 115.334 (a) and 115.334 (b).</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	--

115.335	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p>
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p>
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Staff Confirmation of Receipt of PREA • Online PREA Course Acknowledgements • NIC Certificate for PREA: Behavioral Health Care for Sexual Assault Victims in a Correctional Setting • Interviews with medical staff and mental health staff <p>Evidence (corrective action):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Documented receipt of required by Standard 115.332 for remaining medical and mental health staff (12/19/2025) • Corrective action statement regarding specialized topics (12/19/2025) <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.335 (a) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:</p>

- The agency has a policy related to the training of medical and mental health practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.
- The number of all medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly at this facility who received the training: 24
- The percentage of all medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly at this facility who received the training required by agency policy: 100%

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 17):

All full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities shall be trained in the methods of and procedures to:

1. Detecting and assessing signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
2. Preserving physical evidence of sexual abuse;
3. Responding effectively and professionally to juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and
4. Reporting allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Review of training records of medical staff and mental health staff:

The auditor requested documented evidence of completion of specialized PREA training topics for two medical and mental health staff interviewed, as well as additional examples for four randomly selected staff. One online PREA course acknowledgment and two NIC certificate for PREA: Behavioral Health Care for Sexual Assault Victims in a Correctional Setting were provided for review. No documented evidence of completion of the specialized training topics was received for the remaining three staff. Corrective action was required.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with medical staff and mental health staff:

The nurse and mental health coordinator both stated they have received the specialized training topics regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is completed.

The PREA Coordinator provided a statement dated December 19, 2025, indicating that contract Wellpath medical and mental health staff will receive specialized PREA training topics in accordance with the standard provision requirements.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.335 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with medical staff and mental health staff:

The nurse stated forensic medical examinations would be conducted offsite.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.335 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency maintains documentation showing that medical and mental health practitioners have completed the required training.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 17):

Documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in Section IV.D.1 above from the Agency shall be documented through signature on the "Staff Confirmation of Receipt", pursuant to YS Policy No. A.2.24. Receipts shall be maintained in the CHP employee's file with a copy forwarded to the unit's designated training staff for filing.

Documentation that the training referenced in Section IV.D.1 above was received elsewhere shall be maintained in the CHP employee's file with a copy forwarded to the unit's designated training staff for filing.

Document review:

See 115.335 (a).

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.335 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 17):

Medical and mental health care practitioners shall also receive the training mandated for employees under Section IV.A above, or for contractors and volunteers under Section IV.B above, depending upon the practitioner's status at the Agency. Receipts shall be maintained in the CHP employee's file with a copy forwarded to the unit's designated training staff for filing.

Document review:

The auditor requested documented evidence of completion of training for contractors and volunteers under § 115.332 for two medical and mental health staff interviewed, as well as additional examples for four randomly selected staff. Three

	<p>Staff Confirmation of Receipt of PREA forms were provided for review. The final three were completed through corrective action.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is completed.</p> <p>The facility provided the final three training documents, with the last document received on December 19, 2025.</p>
--	---

115.341	Obtaining information from residents
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Intake and Quarterly Staffing Screening and Housing Assessment dated August 2024 • Interview with PREA coordinator • Interview with PREA compliance manager • Interview with staff responsible for risk screening • Interviews with random sample of residents • Site review <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.341 (a) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency has a policy that requires screening (upon admission to a facility or transfer to another facility) for risk of sexual abuse victimization or sexual abusiveness toward other residents.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The policy requires that residents be screened for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other residents within 72 hours of their intake. • The policy requires that a resident’s risk level be reassessed periodically throughout their confinement at six month intervals. <p>In the past 12 months:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of residents entering the facility (either through intake or

transfer) whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more who were screened for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other residents within 72 hours of their entry into the facility: 70

- The percent of residents entering the facility (either through intake or transfer) whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more who were screened for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other residents within 72 hours of their entry into the facility: 100%

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 18):

Within 72 hours of the youth's arrival at the facility, 30 days from youth's arrival at the facility, each full SAVRY reassessment, after a PREA Incident, and if the youth is at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the Agency shall obtain and use information about each youth's personal history and behavior to reduce the risk of sexual abuse by or upon a youth.

Review of records for residents admitted to the facility:

- The auditor reviewed 21 completed risk assessments for residents interviewed and observed that all assessments were completed within 72 hours of intake.
- The auditor also reviewed 20 completed 30-day risk reassessments for residents interviewed and observed that all reassessments were completed as required by agency policy.
- Lastly, the auditor reviewed 29 applicable risk reassessments for residents interviewed and observed that the reassessments were completed at three-month intervals, consistent with PREA Standard 115.341 and agency policy.

What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Site review:

The staff responsible for risk screening demonstrated the screening process. The screening process occurs in the conference room, ensuring as much privacy as possible. They confirmed they screen residents upon admission to the facility or transfer from another facility for risk of sexual abuse victimization or sexual abusiveness toward other residents. They stated they screen residents for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other residents within 72 hours of their intake. The information is ascertained through conversations with residents and during intake using the Intake and Quarterly Staffing Screening and Housing Assessment form.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with 21 random residents:

All 21 of the residents stated they were asked questions like the following examples at intake:

- Have you have ever been sexually abused?
- Do you identify with being gay, bisexual, or transgender?

- Do you have any disabilities?
- Do you think you might be in danger of sexual abuse at the facility?

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.341 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
Risk assessment is conducted using an objective screening instrument.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 19):

Assessments shall be conducted using the “Intake & Quarterly Staffing Screening and Housing Assessment” objective screening instrument.

Screening instrument:

The auditor reviewed the screening instrument and observed it is objective. There is a set format of objective yes and no questions and the scoring leads to a determination of risk of sexual vulnerability and/or abusiveness of low, medium, or high.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.341 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 20):
At a minimum, the agency shall attempt to ascertain information about:

1. Prior sexual victimization or abusiveness;
2. Any gender nonconforming appearance or manner or identification as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex, and whether the resident may therefore be vulnerable to sexual abuse;
3. Current charges and offense history;
4. Age;
5. Level of emotional and cognitive development;
6. Physical size and stature;
7. Mental illness or mental disabilities;
8. Intellectual, physical, or developmental disabilities;
9. Youth’s own perception of vulnerability; and
10. Any other specific information about individual residents that may indicate heightened needs for supervision, additional safety precautions, or separation from certain other residents.

Document review:

The auditor reviewed the Intake and Quarterly Staffing Screening and Housing Assessment form. The risk screening instrument contains the 10 criteria required by this provision for assessing risk of sexual victimization. The presence of each required risk factor was assessed as such:

1. Prior sexual victimization or abusiveness - Asked in Medical History. "Have you ever been a victim of a sexual assault?" Also, asked in question 6. "Has this youth ever exhibited sexually aggressive behavior?" Additionally asked in question 8. "Are there risk factors, which may increase the youth's potential for sexual victimization (prior victimization and prior sex offense)?"
2. Current charges and offense history - Asked in Legal Information. "Adjudicated Offense(s)?"
3. Age - Asked in Personal Information. "Age?"
4. Level of emotional and cognitive development - Asked in Question 3. Disabilities: Level of Cognitive Development?"
5. Physical size and stature - Asked in question 1. "Indicate this youth's physical stature (small, medium, large, muscular)?"
6. Mental illness or mental disabilities - Asked in question 9. "Look for features of the youth's physical appearance such as: Behaviors that appear related to mental illness (e.g., jittery, crying, bizarre)"
7. Intellectual or developmental disabilities - Asked in Question 3. Disabilities: "Developmental?"
8. Physical disabilities - Asked in Question 3. Disabilities: "Physical?"
9. The resident's own perception of vulnerability - Asked in question 4. "5. Does this youth express a concern for sexual abuse and or sexual harassment?"
10. Any other specific information about individual residents that may indicate heightened needs for supervision, additional safety precautions, or separation from certain other residents. - Asked in question 8. "Are there risk factors, which may increase the youth's potential for sexual victimization (other)?"

Additional criteria asked includes, LGBTI identification, any non-conforming appearance or behavior, and language barrier.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.341 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 20):

The information shall be ascertained through conversations with the youth during the direct admission process; medical and mental health screenings; classification assessments; and by reviewing court records, case files, facility behavioral records, and other relevant documentation from the youth's files.

	<p>What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Interview with staff responsible for risk screening: The Social Services Supervisor stated the information is ascertained through conversations with the residents using the Intake and Quarterly Staffing Screening and Housing Assessment form.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p> <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.341 (e) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 20): Each facility through procedures established in its Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) shall implement appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the youth’s detriment by staff or other youths.</p> <p>What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Interviews with the PREA coordinator, PREA compliance manager, and staff responsible for risk screening: The PREA coordinator, PREA compliance manager, and staff responsible for risk screening all stated the agency has outlined who can have access to a resident’s risk assessment within the facility, to protect sensitive information from exploitation. The information is available on a need-to-know basis and only social services staff have keys.</p> <p>What was observed, as part of a systematic review of evidence: The auditor observed the files are secured in locked cabinets behind a locked door in the conference room.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	---

115.342	Placement of residents
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire

(Juvenile Facilities)

- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Intake and Quarterly Staffing Screening and Housing Assessment dated August 2024
- Individualized Intervention Plan
- Interview with superintendent
- Interview with PREA coordinator
- Interview with PREA compliance manager
- Interview with staff responsible for risk screening
- Interview with staff who supervise residents in isolation
- Interview with medical staff
- Interview with mental health staff
- Interviews with residents in isolation (for risk of sexual victimization/who allege to have suffered sexual abuse
- Site review

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.342 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency/facility uses information from the risk screening required by §115.341 to inform housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 22):

Facility Directors and Contract providers shall use all information initially obtained in and subsequently obtained to make housing, bed, program, education, and work assignments for youth with the goal of keeping all youth safe and free from sexual abuse. Youth shall be reevaluated by their assigned Case Manager during the “Monthly Assessment of IIP Progress” pursuant to YS Policy No. B.2.2, to determine if the housing area assignment continues to meet their needs.

Review of housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments decisions:

The Individual Intervention Plans document a resident’s risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other residents. The plans are completed during the initial staffing, quarterly thereafter, and follow a resident throughout their confinement at the facility. The auditor reviewed one applicable example and observed the plan included housing, bed, recreation, and education considerations.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with PREA compliance manager:

The PREA Compliance Manager discussed how the facility uses information obtained from risk screening during intake to ensure residents are kept safe and free from sexual abuse. Information collected during intake is used to inform multiple considerations, including housing and programming decisions. Youth are asked about prior harassment or incidents of sexual abuse or assault, which is critical in determining appropriate placement. Intake screening also provides information

related to programming needs, as well as medical and mental health services each youth may require.

Interview with staff responsible for risk screening:

Social services staff explained that information obtained from risk screening during intake is used during multidisciplinary placement meetings to ensure residents are housed and supervised in a manner that keeps them safe and free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Risk-screening information is considered when determining residents' dorm placement and supervision needs. Residents attend school, recreation, and the dining hall by dorm assignment, and all activities occur as a group by dorm, which allows staff to maintain consistent supervision and reduce risk.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.342 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The facility has a policy that residents at risk of sexual victimization may only be placed in isolation as a last resort if less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other residents safe, and only until an alternative means of keeping all residents safe can be arranged. The facility policy requires that residents at risk of sexual victimization who are placed in isolation have access to legally required educational programming, special education services, and daily large-muscle exercise.

In the past 12 months:

- The number of residents at risk of sexual victimization who were placed in isolation: 0
- The number of residents at risk of sexual victimization who were placed in isolation who have been denied daily access to large muscle exercise, and/or legally required education, or special education services: 0
- The average period of time residents at risk of sexual victimization who were held in isolation to protect them from sexual victimization: N/A

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 22):

Youth may be isolated from others only as a last resort when less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep all youth safe, and then only until an alternative means of keeping all youth safe can be arranged, pursuant to YS Policy No. B.2.8.

During any period of isolation youth shall not be denied daily large-muscle exercise and any legally required educational programming or special education services. Youth shall receive daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician. Youth shall also have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent

possible.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with superintendent:

The deputy director stated Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie has not used isolation for residents at risk of sexual victimization. Residents are only isolated from others as a last resort when less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other residents safe, and then only until an alternative means of keeping all residents safe can be arranged.

Interview with medical and mental health staff:

The nurse and mental health coordinator both stated residents at risk of sexual victimization would not be placed in isolation.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.342 (c) N/A

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.342 (d) N/A

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.342 (e) N/A

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.342 (f) N/A

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.342 (g) N/A

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.342 (h)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

From a review of case files of residents at risk of sexual victimization who were held in isolation in the past 12 months, the number of case files that include BOTH:

- A statement of the basis for facility's concern for the resident's safety, and
- The reason or reasons why alternative means of separation cannot be arranged: N/A

No residents at risk of sexual victimization were held in isolation in the past 12 months.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this

	<p>provision and corrective action is not required.</p> <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.342 (i) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: If a resident at risk of sexual victimization is held in isolation, the facility affords each such resident a review every 30 days to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population.</p> <p>No residents at risk of sexual victimization were held in isolation in the past 12 months. Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie has not used isolation for residents at risk of sexual victimization.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	--

115.351	Resident reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Youth Safety Guide pamphlet • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Youth PREA Orientation • “Break the Silence” poster • “No Means No” poster • Administrative Remedy Procedure (ARP) Form • Interview with PREA compliance manager • Interviews with random sample of staff • Interviews with random sample of residents • Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse • Systems tests • Site review <p>Evidence (corrective action):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Statement regarding updating intake to include a discussion of posters and telephone prompt instructions (12/02/2025)

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.351 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency has established procedures allowing for multiple internal ways for residents to report privately to agency officials about: Sexual abuse or sexual harassment; Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment; AND Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 23):

There shall be multiple internal methods provided for youth to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment, retaliation by other youths or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents.

Document review:

- The Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Youth Safety Guide pamphlet includes the following internal reporting methods:
 - Office of Juvenile Justice Investigative Services Hotline at 1-800-626-1430
Tell a staff member
- The “Break the Silence” poster includes the following internal reporting methods:
 - Office of Juvenile Justice Investigative Services Hotline at 1-800-626-1430
- The “No Means No” poster includes the following internal reporting methods:
 - Call Investigative Services – Dial 1 then 1 then 999 OR 1-(800) 626-1430
 - Call the Family Liaison - Dial 1 then 800 OR 1- (800) 594-3941
 - Report to any staff, volunteer, contractor, or medical or mental health staff.
 - Submit a grievance or a sick call slip.
 - Report to the PREA coordinator or PREA compliance manager.
 - Tell a family member, friend, legal counsel, or anyone else outside the facility. They can report on your behalf by calling 1-(800) 626-1430.
 - You also can submit a report on someone’s behalf, or someone at the facility can report for you using the ways listed here.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with 12 random staff:

Staff stated that residents can privately report sexual abuse or sexual harassment, retaliation by other residents or staff, or staff neglect or violation of responsibilities by calling the hotline or submitting a grievance.

Interviews with 21 random residents:

Residents stated they would report sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving themselves or another resident by notifying staff, calling the hotline, submitting a grievance, reporting to the Deputy, telling or writing a letter to staff, informing a counselor or case manager, or sending a letter to Central Office. Resident interviews revealed a need to include discussion of telephone prompts during intake.

What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Site review:

The auditor observed that PREA signage is readable, accessible, consistent, and posted throughout the facility. In the living units, signage is placed adjacent to the telephones. The signage is provided in both English and Spanish. See document review.

Systems test:

The auditor tested internal reporting by submitting a test grievance into a locked grievance box and received a response the following day. The auditor also contacted Investigative Services and received an email response documenting that the test report was received.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is completed.

The facility provided a statement indicating that the intake process will be updated to include a discussion of the telephone prompts listed on the 'No Means No' poster, dated 12/02/2025.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.351 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency provides at least one way for residents to report abuse or harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 23):

Youth shall be provided at least one method to report abuse or harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of OJJ and that is able to receive and immediately forward youth reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to Agency officials, allowing the youth to remain anonymous upon request. This shall be accomplished by calling the third party outside reporting source found posted throughout the facility.

Document review:

- The Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Youth Safety Guide pamphlet includes the following external reporting method:
 - Tell a family member

- The “Break the Silence” poster includes the following external reporting method:
 - Louisiana Ombudsman at 1/833-462-0927
- The “No Means No” poster includes the following external reporting method:
 - Call Louisiana Ombudsman- Dial 1 then 1 then 4444 OR 1- (833) 462-0927 (Outside Reporting Agency)

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with PREA compliance manager:

The PREA compliance manager identified the Louisiana Ombudsman Hotline as a way residents can report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity that is not part of the agency.

Interviews with 21 random residents:

See 115.351 (a).

What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Site review:

See 115.351 (a).

The auditor observed signage is readable and accessible, consistent, and placed throughout the facility. The signage is provided in English and Spanish. See document review.

Systems test:

The auditor successfully tested external reporting by calling the Louisiana Ombudsman. The ombudsman’s office forwarded the report to the PREA coordinator the same day.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.351 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency has a policy mandating that staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties. Staff are required to document verbal reports. The time frame that staff are required to document verbal reports: immediately

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 23):

Staff shall accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties and shall promptly document any verbal reports.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with 12 random staff:

Staff confirmed that reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment may be made

verbally, in writing, anonymously, and by third parties. Most staff interviewed stated they would immediately document verbal reports and report the allegation to their direct supervisor and Investigative Services.

Interviews with 21 random residents:

Residents interviewed acknowledged they could report verbally or in writing and stated that if they wished to report anonymously, a relative or friend could make a report on their behalf.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.351 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The facility provides residents with access to tools to make written reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 23):

the facility shall provide youth with access to tools necessary to make a written report.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with PREA compliance manager:

The PREA Compliance Manager confirmed grievance forms are available and pencils are provided for making written reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents.

What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Site review:

The auditor observed the availability of writing utensils, grievance forms, and locked grievance boxes.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.351 (e)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency has established procedures for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents. Staff are informed of these procedures in the

	<p>following ways: policy and training</p> <p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 24): Staff shall be able to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of youth by calling the IS Hotline at 1- 800-626-1430, and reporting an allegation directly to IS.</p> <p>What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Interviews with 12 random staff: Staff interviews confirmed they were knowledgeable they could privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents. Most staff identified the Investigation Services Hotline or submitting a grievance.</p> <p>What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence: Site review: The “No Means No” poster provides reporting options for staff, including contacting the PREA Coordinator or PREA Compliance Manager, or calling the agency’s Investigation Services internal hotline.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	--

115.352	Exhaustion of administrative remedies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Administrative Remedy Procedure (ARP): How to Complain About Your Problem Administrative Remedy Procedure (ARP) Form • “No Means No” poster • Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.352 (a) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency has an administrative procedure for dealing with resident grievances regarding sexual abuse.</p> <p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 24):</p>

Pursuant to YS Policy No. B.5.3, the Administrative Remedy Procedure (ARP) shall not contain a time limit on when a youth may submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse. The Agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits on any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse. A youth shall not be required to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse. The provisions of subparagraphs (1) and (2) do not restrict the Agency's ability to defend against a lawsuit filed by a youth on the ground that the applicable statute of limitations has expired.

Review of Administrative Remedy Procedure (ARP): How to Complain About Your Problem booklet:

The auditor reviewed the Administrative Remedy Procedure (ARP): How to Complain About Your Problem booklet and observed that the booklet contains relevant information regarding the ARP process.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.352 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Agency policy or procedure allows a resident to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse at any time regardless of when the incident is alleged to have occurred. Agency policy does not require a resident to use an informal grievance process, or otherwise to attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 24):

Pursuant to YS Policy No. B.5.3, the Administrative Remedy Procedure (ARP) shall not contain a time limit on when a youth may submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse. The Agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits on any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse. A youth shall not be required to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse. The provisions of subparagraphs (1) and (2) do not restrict the Agency's ability to defend against a lawsuit filed by a youth on the ground that the applicable statute of limitations has expired.

Review of Administrative Remedy Procedure (ARP): How to Complain About Your Problem booklet:

See 115.352 (a).

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.352 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency's policy and procedure allow a resident to submit a grievance alleging sexual abuse without submitting it to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 24):

The Agency shall ensure that a youth who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint, and such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint.

Review of Administrative Remedy Procedure (ARP): How to Complain About Your Problem booklet:

See 115.352 (a).

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.352 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency has policy and procedures that require that a decision on the merits of any grievance or portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse be made within 90 days of the filing of the grievance. The total time between the discovery of the grievance and the disposition cannot exceed 25 days.

In the past 12 months, the number of grievances that were filed that alleged sexual abuse: 0

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (pages 24-25):

A final Agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse be issued within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance. Computation of the 90-day time period shall not include time used by the youth in preparing any administrative appeal. Pursuant to B.5.3, the ARP may provide for a request for an extension of time by the Facility Director to respond in Step One with the approval of the Deputy Secretary if the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision. The Facility Director shall notify the youth in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made. At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the youth does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, the youth may consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level.

Review of grievances that alleged sexual abuse and final decisions:

There were no grievances that were filed that alleged sexual abuse.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse:

There were three residents who reported sexual abuse present during the onsite phase of the audit. One of these residents consented to being interviewed. The resident stated that staff informed them of when the facility would make a decision regarding the report. The resident further stated that they were notified in writing of the decision. The resident was unsure how long after making the report they received the written notification. The resident stated that the decision did not take longer than 90 days.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.352 (e)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Agency policy and procedure permits third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse, and to file such requests on behalf of residents.

Agency policy and procedure require that if the resident declines to have third-party assistance in filing a grievance alleging sexual abuse, the agency documents the resident's decision to decline.

Agency policy allows parents or legal guardians of residents to file a grievance alleging sexual abuse, including appeals, on behalf of such resident, regardless of whether or not the resident agrees to having the grievance filed on their behalf.

The number of the grievances alleging sexual abuse filed by residents in the past 12 months in which the resident declined third-party assistance, containing documentation of the resident's decision to decline: 0

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 25):

Third parties, including fellow youth, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, shall be permitted to assist youth in filing requests for an ARP relating to allegations of sexual abuse, and shall also be permitted to file such requests on behalf of youths. If a third party, other than a parent or legal guardian, files such a request on behalf of a youth, the ARP may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the ARP. If the youth declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, the Agency shall document the youth's decision. If an attorney files an ARP on behalf of the youth, a letter of representation shall be required. A parent or legal guardian of a juvenile shall be allowed to file a grievance regarding allegations of sexual abuse, including appeals, on behalf of such juvenile. Such a grievance shall not be conditioned upon the juvenile agreeing to have the request

filed on his or her behalf.

Review of third-party reports and declination of third-party assistance:
There were no third-party reports.

What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Site review:

The auditor observed that PREA signage is consistent and includes information for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment through the grievance process. The posters are provided in English and Spanish, are printed in a large font, and were not obscured by graffiti or damaged. In the housing units, the "No Means No" posters are posted adjacent to the telephones.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.352 (f)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency has a policy and established procedures for filing an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. Agency policy and procedures for emergency grievances alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse require an initial response within 48 hours.

The number of emergency grievances alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse that were filed in the past 12 months: 0

The agency's policy and procedure for emergency grievances alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse require that a final agency decision be issued within 5 days.

The number of grievances alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse filed in the past 12 months that reached final decisions within 5 days: 0

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 25):

The ARP shall contain procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a youth is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a youth is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the Agency shall require the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) be immediately forwarded to the appropriate Regional Director for immediate corrective action, an initial response within 48 hours, and a final Agency decision within five (5) calendar days. The initial response and final Agency decision shall document the Agency's findings as to whether the youth is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse and the action taken in response to the emergency grievance.

Review of emergency grievances filed:

	<p>There were no emergency grievances filed.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p> <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.352 (g) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency has a written policy that limits its ability to discipline a resident for filing a grievance alleging sexual abuse to occasions where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith.</p> <p>In the past 12 months there were no resident grievances alleging sexual abuse that resulted in disciplinary action by the agency against the resident for having filed the grievance in bad faith.</p> <p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 39): For the purpose of disciplinary action, a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred shall not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	--

115.353	Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Memorandum of agreement between Acadiana Center for Youth and Sexual Trauma Awareness and Response (STAR) dated April 14, 2022 • Email Correspondence with STAR • “No Means No” poster • Interview with superintendent • Interview with PREA compliance manager

- Interviews with random sample of residents
- Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse

Evidence (corrective action):

- Email correspondence confirming telephone repairs/reprogramming (12/03/2025)
- Statement regarding including a discussion of emotional support services during intake (12/02/2025)

Findings (by provision):

115.353 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The facility provides residents access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by:

- Giving residents (by providing, posting, or otherwise making accessible) mailing addresses and telephone numbers (including toll-free hotline numbers where available) of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations.
- Enabling reasonable communication between residents and these organizations, in as confidential a manner as possible.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 26):

Each facility shall provide youth with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse, by providing, posting, or otherwise making accessible mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll free hotline numbers where available, of local, state, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations. The facility shall enable reasonable communication between youths and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible.

Document review:

The “No Means No” poster (English and Spanish) includes a telephone number and mailing address for Sexual Trauma Awareness and Response (STAR).

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with 21 random residents:

Two of the residents interviewed (10%) stated they were knowledgeable of services available outside of the facility for dealing with sexual abuse if they ever need it.

Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse:

There were three residents who reported sexual abuse present during the onsite phase of the audit. One of these residents consented to being interviewed. The resident stated that they did not require mailing addresses or telephone numbers for outside services and were not aware of external services available.

This lack of knowledge of outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse was addressed through corrective action.

What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Site review:

The auditor observed that information for the child advocacy center is included on the “No Means No” poster. The posters are located in each living unit adjacent to telephones and in other areas throughout the facility.

Systems test:

The auditor attempted to contact Sexual Trauma Awareness and Response (STAR) using a facility telephone; however, the call did not successfully connect. Through corrective action, the resident telephone system was reprogrammed to enable calls to STAR.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is completed.

To increase resident awareness of external victim advocate services, the agency is including a discussion of these services during the intake process. The facility provided a statement dated December 2, 2025, confirming this change.

Additionally, the facility provided email correspondence dated December 3, 2025, indicating that the telephone system was repaired to allow calls to STAR.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.353 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The facility informs residents, prior to giving them access to outside support services, the extent to which such communications will be monitored. The facility informs residents, prior to giving them access to outside support services, of the mandatory reporting rules governing privacy, confidentiality, and/or privilege that apply to disclosures of sexual abuse made to outside victim advocates, including any limits to confidentiality under relevant Federal, State, or local law.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 26):

The facility shall inform youths, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws.

Document review:

The “No Means No” poster (English and Spanish) includes information about the extent to which such communications will be monitored and related mandatory reporting requirements.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with 21 random residents:

See 115.353 (a).

Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse:

See 115.353 (a).

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.353 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency or facility maintains memoranda of understanding (MOUs) or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with emotional support services related to sexual abuse. The agency or facility maintains copies of those agreements.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 26):

The Agency shall maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide youths with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse. The Agency shall maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements.

Document review:

The auditor reviewed the memorandum of understanding between Acadiana Center for Youth and Sexual Trauma Awareness and Response (STAR), dated April 14, 2022, and observed that the MOU is out of date. The facility provided email correspondence documenting that emotional support services related to sexual abuse will continue to be provided by STAR; however, STAR has elected not to renew the memorandum of understanding, and a new MOU will not be entered into.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.353 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The facility provides residents with reasonable and confidential access to their attorneys or other legal representation. The facility provides residents with reasonable access to parents or legal guardians.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 26):

The facility shall also provide youth with reasonable and confidential access to their

attorneys or other legal representative and reasonable access to parents or legal guardians.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with superintendent:

The Deputy Director stated that the facility provides residents with reasonable access to parents or legal guardians through telephone calls facilitated by Social Services, video visitation, and in-person visitation on weekends.

Interview with PREA compliance manager:

The PREA compliance manager stated that residents have the right and ability to correspond in writing with legal services at any time. Residents are also permitted to make telephone calls to legal services upon request. The compliance manager stated that confidentiality is ensured, and residents are not restricted from accessing their attorneys or other legal representation.

Interviews with 21 random residents:

- All 21 of the residents interviewed (100%) stated the facility allows them to see or talk with a lawyer and the facility will allow them to talk with that person privately.
- All 21 of the residents interviewed (100%) stated the facility allows them to see or talk with their parents or someone else.

Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse:

See 115.353 (a). The resident stated that they did not request to speak with a lawyer or their parents or another outside contact but understood that the facility would allow these contacts if requested.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

115.354	Third-party reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities)• Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025• Third-party reporting instructions published at https://ojj.la.gov/page/prea

- “No Means No” poster
- Systems test of third-party reporting

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.354 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
The agency or facility provides a method to receive third-party reports of resident sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 16):
Third parties shall have the ability to file reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Policies containing information on the methods by which a third party can report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a youth shall be available on the Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) website at <http://www.ojj.la.gov/>.

What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Site review:
Third-party reporting information is published on the Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice website. The website includes the following instructions, “All reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment will be investigated and addressed. Youth, employees, and third parties can report incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment in verbal or written formats. All parties can file a report with the Office of Juvenile Justice by calling the Investigative Services hotline at 1-800-626-1430. Reporters can remain anonymous or provide contact information in the event more information is needed.”
Additionally, the auditor observed that the “No Means No” poster includes third-party reporting information. The poster is provided in both English and Spanish and is posted throughout the facility, including adjacent to resident telephones.

Systems test:
The auditor tested the third-party reporting mechanism by calling the Investigative Services hotline and received an email response the following day confirming that the report had been received.

Finding:
Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

115.361	Staff and agency reporting duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:

- Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities)
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025
- Interview with superintendent
- Interview with PREA compliance manager
- Interviews with a random sample of staff
- Interviews with medical and mental health staff

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.361 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency requires all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy:

- Any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency.
- Any retaliation against residents or staff who reported such an incident.
- Any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (pages 27-28):

All staff shall report immediately any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is a part of the Agency pursuant to YS Policy No. C.4.3. Staff receiving reports of sexual assault or sexual harassment shall immediately contact his/her supervisor/manager and in the case of a contract program, the supervising PPO/J. Staff may also use the IS Hotline by calling 1-800-626-1430 to report the incident. Staff shall report retaliation against youth or staff who reported such an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment; and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with 12 random staff:

All 12 staff stated they are required to report any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency; retaliation against residents or staff who reported such an incident; and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.361 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
The agency requires all staff to comply with any applicable mandatory child abuse reporting laws.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 28):

All staff shall comply with mandatory child abuse reporting laws pursuant to YS Policy No. C.4.3, and Federal and State Law. Failure of staff to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment and/or comply with Mandatory Reporting provisions above shall result in disciplinary action.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with 12 random staff:

All 12 staff stated they are aware of Louisiana laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.361 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials and designated State or local service agencies, agency policy prohibits staff from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 28):

Except for reporting to supervisors, Facility Directors, Central Office management, and designated State or local services agencies as provided for in YS Policy No. C.4.3, staff are prohibited from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with 12 random staff:

Staff interviewed stated policy prohibits them from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.361 (d)

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with medical and mental health staff:

The nurse stated they disclose the limitations of confidentiality and their duty to report, at the initiation of services to a resident. They stated they are required to immediately report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. They stated they have not become aware of such incidents.

The mental health coordinator stated they disclose the limitations of confidentiality and their duty to report, at the initiation of services to a resident. They stated they are required to immediately report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. They stated they have not become aware of such incidents.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.361 (e)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 29):

If the alleged victim is under the guardianship of the child welfare system, the report shall be made to the alleged victim's caseworker instead of the parents or legal guardians. The Facility Director shall also report the allegation to the appropriate juvenile judge, the juvenile's attorney, or other legal representative of record within 14 days of receiving the allegation.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with superintendent:

The Deputy Director stated that when the facility receives an allegation of sexual abuse, the allegation is reported to Central Office, the youth's parent or guardian, the assigned caseworker when applicable, and the juvenile's attorney or other legal representative of record when a juvenile court retains jurisdiction, all within 72 hours.

Interview with PREA compliance manager:

The PREA Compliance Manager stated that when the facility receives an allegation of sexual abuse, the allegation is reported to Central Office and to the youth's parent or guardian. If the youth is under the guardianship of the Louisiana Department of Children and Family Services, the allegation is reported to the assigned probation officer. These notifications are made immediately. Additionally, if a juvenile court retains jurisdiction over the victim, the allegation is reported to the juvenile's attorney or other legal representative of record, who is notified within 14 days.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this

	<p>provision and corrective action is not required.</p> <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.361 (f) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 27): Upon receiving any allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous complaints, the Facility Director/Regional Manager shall promptly report the allegation to the appropriate Regional Director, PREA Compliance Manager and the Director of IS. If the sexual abuse or sexual harassment occurs in a secure facility, the Facility Director shall also notify the Regional Manager from the youth’s region of origin. The Facility Director shall also notify the assigned Probation and Parole Officer, the alleged victim’s parents, or legal guardians, unless the facility has official documentation showing the parents or legal guardians should not be notified. Pursuant to YS Policy No. C.2.6 and facility SOPs, secure care facility staff shall initiate a UOR [refer to YS Policy No. C.2.6 (b)], and notify a facility IS Investigator, or if unavailable a Central Office supervisory investigator. Law enforcement is to be notified as appropriate and in accordance with local procedures.</p> <p>What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Interview with superintendent: The deputy director stated all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, are reported directly to designated facility investigators.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	--

115.362	Agency protection duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Interview with agency head • Interview with superintendent • Interview with random sample of staff <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision):</p>

115.362 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
When the agency or facility learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it takes immediate action to protect the resident (i.e., it takes some action to assess and implement appropriate protective measures without unreasonable delay).

In the past 12 months there were no incidents where the agency or facility determined that a resident was subject to substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 29):

Immediate action shall be taken to protect a youth when the Agency learns that a youth is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. Upon receiving staff reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the supervisor/manager or supervising PPO/J shall immediately notify the Facility Director/Regional Manager and initiate action to reduce or eliminate immediate harm to the victim or reporter, and damage to any potential crime scenes and evidence.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with agency head:

The Deputy Secretary stated that when staff learn a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the facility takes immediate protective action by moving the youth to another dorm or placing the youth in a different area while a more permanent housing arrangement is made.

Interview with superintendent:

The Deputy Director stated that when staff learn a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the resident is immediately removed from the area and placed in a safe area.

Interviews with 12 random staff:

All twelve staff interviewed stated that if a resident were determined to be at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the facility would take immediate protective action. Staff reported that protective measures may include one-on-one supervision, notifying the next shift and additional staff, securing the resident, separation from potential aggressors, close observation, alerting a supervisor, and transferring the resident to another dorm as appropriate.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

115.363	Reporting to other confinement facilities
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:

- Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities)
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025
- Interview with agency head
- Interview with director

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.363 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency has a policy requiring that, upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the head of the facility must notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency or facility where sexual abuse is alleged to have occurred. The agency's policy also requires that the head of the facility notify the appropriate investigative agency.

In the past 12 months, the facility received no allegations that a resident was abused while confined at another facility.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 29):

Upon receiving an allegation that a youth was sexually abused while confined at another YS secure care facility or another Agency facility, the Facility Director who received the allegation shall notify the Facility Director or appropriate office of the Agency where the alleged abuse occurred and shall also notify the appropriate Regional Director and IS office located on the facility grounds, and Central Office IS where appropriate.

Documentation of allegations that a resident was abused while confined at another facility:

There were no allegations that a resident was abused while confined at another facility.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.363 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Agency policy requires that the facility head provides such notification as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 29):

Such notification shall be provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation and the notification documented.

Documentation of allegations that a resident was abused while confined at another facility:

See 115.363 (a).

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.363 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency or facility documents that it has provided such notification within 72 hours of receiving the allegation.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 29):

Such notification shall be provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation and the notification documented.

Documentation of allegations that a resident was abused while confined at another facility:

See 115.363 (a).

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.363 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Agency/facility policy requires that allegations received from other facilities/ agencies are investigated in accordance with the PREA standards. The facility head or agency office that receives such notification shall ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards.

In the past 12 months, no allegations of sexual abuse were received from other facilities.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 29):

The Facility Director/ IS investigator who receives such notification shall ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with PREA standards.

Documentation of allegations that a resident was abused while confined at another facility:

See 115.363 (a).

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with agency head:

The Deputy Secretary stated that when the agency receives an allegation of sexual

	<p>abuse, the Facility Director and the Head of Investigative Services are notified. The allegation is also reported to Investigative Services, which serves as the appropriate investigative agency, in accordance with PREA Standard 115.363. The Deputy Secretary further stated that there have been no instances in which allegations of sexual abuse were reported from another facility or agency.</p> <p>Interview with superintendent: The Deputy Director stated that when the facility receives an allegation from another facility or agency regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred at the facility, Investigations is contacted, and the PREA Coordinator and Social Services are included in the notification process. The Deputy Director further stated that there have been no instances of another facility or agency reporting such allegations.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	--

115.364	Staff first responder duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Investigation reports • Interviews with security staff and non-security staff first responders • Interviews with a random sample of staff • Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.364 (a) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency has a first responder policy for allegations of sexual abuse. The policy requires that, upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, the first security staff member to respond to the report separate the alleged victim and abuser. The policy requires that, upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, the first security staff member to respond to the report preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence. The policy requires that, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, the first security staff</p>

member to respond to the report request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating. The policy requires that, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, the first security staff member to respond to the report ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating.

In the past 12 months, the facility reported five allegations of resident sexual abuse. Of these allegations, there were zero instances in which the first security staff member to respond separated the alleged victim and alleged abuser. Additionally, there were zero allegations in which staff were notified within a time period that allowed for the collection of physical evidence.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 30):

Upon learning of an allegation that a youth was sexually abused, the first staff member to respond to the report shall be required to:

1. Separate the alleged victim and alleged abuser;
2. Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence;
3. If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating; and
4. If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, ensure that the alleged abuser not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating.

Review of response to allegations:

The auditor reviewed one unfounded and three unsubstantiated allegations of staff-on-resident sexual abuse and one unsubstantiated allegation of resident-on-resident sexual abuse and reviewed steps taken and evidence collected.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with security staff and non-security staff first responders:

Staff stated they are knowledgeable of their first responder duties if they are the first person to be alerted that a resident has allegedly been the victim of sexual abuse.

Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse:

There were three residents who reported sexual abuse present during the onsite phase of the audit. One of these residents consented to be interviewed. The resident stated that staff witnessed the incident. The resident indicated that staff

learned of the incident at the time it occurred, and no delay occurred between staff becoming aware of the allegation and staff responding.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.364 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency policy requires that if the first staff responder is not a security staff member, that responder shall be required to:

- Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence.
- Notify security staff.

Of the allegations that a resident was sexually abused made in the past 12 months, the number of times a non-security staff member was the first responder: 0

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 30):

If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, the responder shall be required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff.

Review of response to allegations:

See 115.364 (a).

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with 12 random staff and security staff and non-security staff first responders:

Staff stated they are knowledgeable of their first responder duties if they are the first person to be alerted that a resident has allegedly been the victim of sexual abuse. All staff are mandated reporters and would therefore follow the same policy requirements as security staff if they are a first responder.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

115.365	Coordinated response
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:

- Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities)
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Coordinated Response to Sexual Abuse Incidents
- ACY and ACY- SM Sexual Abuse Protocol
- Interview with superintendent

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.365 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The facility has developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 30):

The Agency, in concert with the YS secure care facilities, shall develop a written facility plan referred to as the "OJJ PREA Coordinated Response to Sexual Abuse Incidents" to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership.

Coordinated Response Plan for Sexual Abuse Allegations:

The auditor reviewed the PREA Coordinated Response to Sexual Abuse Incidents and the ACY and ACY-SM Sexual Abuse Protocols and observed that these documents coordinate the actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership. The PREA Coordinated Response to Sexual Abuse Incidents provides step-by-step instructions, while the ACY-SM Sexual Abuse Protocol provides more in-depth procedural guidance and detailed instruction.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with superintendent:

The deputy director stated that the facility follows the PREA Coordinated Response to Sexual Abuse Incidents to guide all actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse, ensuring coordination among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, and facility leadership. The plan is attached to the PREA policy.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

115.366	Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Interview with agency head <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.366 (a) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency, facility, or any other governmental entity responsible for collective bargaining on the agency’s behalf has not entered into a collective bargaining agreement since the last PREA audit.</p> <p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 30): No collective bargaining agreement or other agreement can be entered into that would limit the Agency’s ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with youth pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted.</p> <p>What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Interview with agency head: The Deputy Secretary confirmed the Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice does not have a collective bargaining agreement that limits the agency’s ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p> <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.366 (b) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 31): Nothing shall restrict the entering into or renewal of agreements that govern:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The conduct of the disciplinary process, as long as such agreements are not inconsistent with the provisions of this policy regarding

	<p>evidentiary standards for administrative proceeding.</p> <p>2. Whether a no-contact assignment that is imposed pending the outcome of an investigation shall be expunged from or retained in the staff member’s personnel file following a determination that the allegation of sexual abuse is not substantiated.</p> <p>What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Interview with agency head: See 115.366 (a).</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	--

115.367	Agency protection against retaliation
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Protections Against Retaliation Form for Reporters of Sexual Abuse • Interview with agency head designee • Interview with superintendent • Interview with designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation • Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.367 (a) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency has a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff.</p> <p>The agency designates the social services with monitoring for possible retaliation.</p> <p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 31): Youth and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations shall be protected from retaliation by other youth or staff.</p>

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.367 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Documentation of any protective measures taken:

The auditor reviewed examples of the Protections Against Retaliation Form for Reporters of Sexual Abuse and observed the form is used to document retaliation monitoring.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 31):

Multiple protection measures shall be employed, such as housing changes or transfers for youth victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or youth abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for youth or staff that fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations.

Documentation of any protective measures taken:

The auditor reviewed investigative reports and observed that protective measures taken included housing changes or transfers, transfers to another facility, staff relocation, and employee termination, as applicable.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with agency head:

The Deputy Secretary confirmed that the agency protects residents and staff from retaliation following sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegations by implementing Special Management Plans and conducting ongoing monitoring.

Interview with superintendent:

The Deputy Director stated that for allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the facility takes measures to protect residents and staff from retaliation. For residents, measures include housing changes, separation, and possible transfer, with Social Services and Investigative Services conducting monitoring and status checks and mental health services provided as needed. For staff, measures include reassignment to another unit or placement on no-contact status during the investigation until a final determination is made.

Interview with designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation:

The Social Services Supervisor stated that her role in preventing retaliation includes implementing housing changes or transfers, when appropriate, and ensuring emotional support services are provided to residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or who cooperate with investigations. She reported that the same measures are used to protect residents and staff from retaliation. The supervisor further stated that social services initiates contact with residents who have reported sexual abuse, with follow-up occurring weekly or more frequently as needed.

Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse:
There were three residents who reported sexual abuse present during the onsite phase of the audit. One of these residents consented to be interviewed. The resident stated that they felt protected against possible retaliation from staff or other youth as a result of reporting the incident.

What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Site review:

There were no residents in isolation (for risk of sexual victimization/who allege to have suffered sexual abuse) or residents who reported a sexual abuse.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.367 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency and/or facility monitors the conduct or treatment of residents or staff who reported sexual abuse and of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are any changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff.

The facility monitors the conduct and treatment of residents and staff for a period of 90 days following a report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The facility acts promptly to remedy any retaliation and continues monitoring beyond 90 days when initial monitoring indicates a continuing need. In the past 12 months, the facility reported zero incidents of retaliation.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (pages 31-32):

for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, the Agency shall monitor the conduct or treatment of youth or staff who reported the sexual abuse, and of youth who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by youth or staff and shall act promptly to remedy any such retaliation. Monitoring by IS shall include:

1. Review of UORs;
2. Youth violation reports;
3. Housing or Program changes of relevant youth;
4. Negative performance reviews or reassignments of pertinent staff;
5. Periodic status checks of youth; and
6. Follow up discussions with youth reports and victims of sexual assault, staff reporters, housing unit and treatment staff.

Monitoring shall be documented in the IS case file by completing the Protection Against Retaliation Form for the appropriate staff/youth for each PREA related incident, pursuant to established procedures in YS Policy No. A.1.4, Investigative

Services Handbook. The Agency shall continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need.

Documentation of monitoring efforts:

The auditor reviewed examples of the Protections Against Retaliation Form for Reporters of Sexual Abuse and observed the form is designed for periodic status checks for 90 days or longer.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with superintendent:

The Deputy Director stated that when retaliation is suspected, Investigations opens a case to review the allegation and take appropriate action

Interview with designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation:

The Social Services Supervisor stated that indicators of possible retaliation include residents being picked on, bullying, or experiencing peer rejection. She reported that social services monitors disciplinary reports, patterns of over-sanctioning, poor job performance, and lack of supervision to detect potential retaliation. The supervisor stated that the conduct and treatment of residents and staff who report sexual abuse, or who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse, are monitored for 90 days. Monitoring continues beyond 90 days for as long as needed when there is concern that retaliation may occur.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.367 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 31):

Monitoring by IS shall include periodic status checks of youth.

Documentation of monitoring in case of residents:

See 115.367 (c).

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation:

The Social Services Supervisor stated that monitoring also includes periodic status checks with residents and staff.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.367 (e)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 31):

	<p>If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, the Agency shall take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation.</p> <p>Documentation of protective measures taken: See 115.367 (b).</p> <p>What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Interviews with agency head: The Deputy Secretary confirmed that the facility protects residents and staff from retaliation related to sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations through housing changes or transfers and ongoing retaliation monitoring.</p> <p>Interview with superintendent: See 115.367 (b) and (c).</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p> <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.367 (f) N/A</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	---

115.368	Post-allegation protective custody
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Interview with superintendent • Interviews with residents in isolation (for risk of sexual victimization) <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.368 (a): What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The facility has a policy that residents who allege to have suffered sexual abuse may only be placed in isolation as a last resort if less restrictive measures are</p>

	<p>inadequate to keep them and other residents safe, and only until an alternative means of keeping all residents safe can be arranged.</p> <p>No residents who alleged to have suffered sexual abuse who were placed in isolation in the past 12 months.</p> <p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 32): any use of segregated housing to protect a youth who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse shall be subject to the requirements of §115.342.</p> <p>What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Interview with superintendent: The deputy director stated the facility has not used segregated housing in this manner.</p> <p>Interviews with residents in isolation (for risk of sexual victimization): There were no residents in isolation during the onsite phase of the audit.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	---

115.371	Criminal and administrative agency investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Staff Confirmation of Receipt of PREA • National Institute of Corrections Certificate for PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting • Interview with superintendent • Interview with PREA coordinator • Interview with investigative staff • Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse <p>Findings (By Provision): 115.371 (a) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency/facility has a policy related to criminal and administrative agency investigations.</p>

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 32):
IS conducted investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment shall be done promptly, thoroughly, and objectively for all allegations, including third-party and anonymous reports pursuant to YS Policy No. A.1.4.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with investigative staff:

The investigator confirmed that investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment are initiated within 24 hours of notification of an incident. The investigator further stated that anonymous and third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment are investigated in the same manner as all other allegations.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.371 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Where sexual abuse is alleged, the agency shall use investigators who have received special training in sexual abuse investigations involving juvenile victims pursuant to § 115.334.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (pages 32-33):

Where sexual abuse is alleged, the Agency shall use investigators who have received special training in sexual abuse investigations involving juvenile victims.

Review of training records/logs of investigative staff:

See 115.334 (b).

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with investigative staff:

The investigator stated they received training specific to conducting sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations in confinement settings. They stated they received the training required by §115.331 and completed the specialized training topics.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.371 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 33):

Investigators shall gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data; shall interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses; and

shall review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator.

Review of investigative reports:

The auditor reviewed nine completed investigative reports with findings. The facility reported one additional investigation that remains open. The reports reviewed included one unfounded and three unsubstantiated allegations of staff-on-resident sexual abuse; one unsubstantiated allegation of resident-on-resident sexual abuse; one unsubstantiated allegation of staff-on-resident sexual harassment; one unsubstantiated allegation of resident-on-resident sexual harassment; three substantiated allegations of staff-on-resident sexual harassment; and one unfounded allegation of staff-on-resident sexual harassment.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with investigative staff:

The Investigator stated that investigations are initiated by securing and preserving evidence, treating the area as a potential crime scene, and gathering preliminary information. The investigative process includes evidence collection, interviews, video review, report writing, retaliation monitoring, and required notifications. The Investigator further stated that both direct and circumstantial evidence may be collected, including interviews, video footage, DNA evidence when applicable, and central registry reviews of the youth and staff involved.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.371 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency does not terminate an investigation solely because the source of the allegation recants the allegation.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 33):

The Agency shall not terminate an investigation solely because the source of the allegation recants the allegation.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with investigative staff:

The investigator confirmed an investigation would not be terminated solely because the source of the allegation recants the allegation.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.371 (e)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 33):

When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, the Agency shall conduct compelled interviews, only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution as appropriate.

Investigation reports:

See 115.371 (c).

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with investigative staff:

The Investigator confirmed that investigators consult with prosecutors prior to conducting any compelled interviews, in accordance with PREA Standard 115.371(e).

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.371 (f)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 33):

The credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness shall be assessed on an individual basis and shall not be determined by the person's status as youth or staff. A youth who alleges sexual abuse shall not be compelled to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding with the investigation of such an allegation.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with investigative staff:

The investigator confirmed that under no circumstances would an investigator require a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or truth telling device as a condition for proceeding with an investigation.

Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse:

There were three residents who reported sexual abuse present during the onsite phase of the audit. One of these residents consented to being interviewed. The resident did not report having to submit to a polygraph examination or truth telling device.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.371 (g)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 33):
Administrative investigations shall include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse; and shall be documented in written reports that include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with investigative staff:

The Investigator confirmed that investigations consider any staff actions or failures to act that may have contributed to sexual abuse. Administrative investigations are documented in written reports that include a discussion of all evidence.

Investigation reports:

See 115.371 (c). The auditor observed that the investigations are documented in written reports that include a discussion of all evidence.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.371 (h)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 33):
Criminal investigations shall be documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible.

Criminal investigation reports:

The auditor reviewed criminal investigation reports and observed that the reports are documented in writing and contain a thorough description of physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with investigative staff:

The Investigator confirmed investigations are documented. The reports include a discussion of all evidence.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.371 (i)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
Substantiated allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal are referred for prosecution.

The number of substantiated allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal that

were referred for prosecution since the last PREA audit: 2 (The PAQ incorrectly states zero.)

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 33):
substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal shall be referred for prosecution.

Review of cases referred for prosecution:
See 115.371 (h).

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with investigative staff:

The Investigator confirmed substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal are refer cases for prosecution when supported by the evidence.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.371 (j)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency retains all written reports pertaining to the administrative or criminal investigation of alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (pages 33-34):

The Agency shall retain all written reports referenced in subparagraphs 8 and 9 of this section for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the Agency, plus five (5) years, unless the abuse was committed by a youth and applicable law requires a shorter period of retention.

Investigation reports:
See 115.371 (c).

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.371 (k)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 34):

The departure of the alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility or agency shall not provide a basis for terminating an investigation.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with investigative staff:

The Investigator confirmed investigators continue to conduct investigations when a

	<p>staff member alleged to have committed sexual abuse or sexual harassment terminates employment prior to a completed investigation into his/her conduct.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p> <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.371 (m) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 34): When local law enforcement investigates sexual abuse, the facility shall cooperate with outside investigators and shall endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation.</p> <p>What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Interview with superintendent, PREA coordinator, PREA compliance manager, and investigative staff:</p> <p>The investigator, Deputy Director, PREA coordinator, and PREA compliance manager confirmed Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie would cooperate with outside investigators and would remain informed about the progress of the investigation.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	---

115.372	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Interview with investigative staff <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.372 (a): What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency imposes a standard of a preponderance of the evidence or a lower standard of proof when determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated.</p>

	<p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 34): In determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated, IS shall not use a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence.</p> <p>What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Interview with investigative staff: The investigator stated that the standard of evidence required to substantiate allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment in administrative investigations is a preponderance of the evidence.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	--

115.373	Reporting to residents
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Provision of Information to Youth - Sexual Abuse form • Interview with superintendent • Interview with investigative staff • Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.373 (a) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency has a policy requiring that any resident who makes an allegation that he or he suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility is informed, verbally or in writing, as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded following an investigation by the agency.</p> <p>In the past 12 months:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of criminal and/or administrative investigations of alleged resident sexual abuse that were completed by the agency/facility: 5 • Of the investigations that were completed of alleged sexual abuse, the number of residents who were notified, verbally or in writing, of the results

of the investigation: 5

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 34):
Following an investigation into a youth's allegation of sexual abuse in a secure facility, IS shall inform the youth as to whether the allegation has been substantiated, unsubstantiated or unfounded. IS shall generate a "Youth Letter" through the Central Registry Database and distribute the letter to the appropriate Program Manager of the youth's assigned facility. The Program Manager or designee shall ensure that the youth receives a copy of the "Youth Letter" and that a copy of the letter with the youth's signature is placed in the youth's file. The assigned investigator shall place a copy of the "Youth Letter" in the investigative case file, along with receipts that this distribution took place.

Review of resident outcome notifications:

The auditor reviewed six applicable examples of the Provision of Information to Youth - Sexual Abuse form and observed the forms informs residents as to whether an allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded following an investigation.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with superintendent:

The deputy director stated the facility notifies a resident who makes an allegation of sexual abuse, that the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded.

Interview with investigative staff:

The investigator stated they are aware that when a resident makes an allegation of sexual abuse, the resident must be informed as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded following an investigation.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.373 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

If an outside entity conducts such investigations, the agency requests the relevant information from the investigative entity in order to inform the resident of the outcome of the investigation.

In the past 12 months:

- The number of investigations of alleged resident sexual abuse in the facility that were completed by an outside agency: 0
- Of the outside agency investigations of alleged sexual abuse that were

completed, the number of residents alleging sexual abuse in the facility who were notified verbally or in writing of the results of the investigation: 0

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 34):

If the Agency did not conduct the investigation, it shall request the relevant information from the investigative Agency in order to inform the youth.

Review of resident outcome notifications:

See 115.373 (a).

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.373 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, the agency/facility subsequently informs the resident (unless the agency/facility has determined that the allegation is unfounded) whenever:

- The staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit;
- The staff member is no longer employed at the facility;
- The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or
- The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.

There have been no substantiated or unsubstantiated complaints (i.e., not unfounded) of sexual abuse committed by a staff member against a resident in the past 12 months.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 34):

Following a youth's allegation that a staff member has sexually abused the youth, IS shall inform the youth (except where IS has found the allegation to be unfounded) whenever:

1. The staff member is no longer posted within the youth's unit;
2. The staff member is no longer employed at the facility;
3. The Agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual
4. The Agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.

Review of resident outcome notifications:

The auditor reviewed the Provision of Information to Youth – Sexual Abuse form and

observed that the form includes the required standard provisions. Examples documented include juveniles being transferred to another facility or moved to a different unit or housing area.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse:

There were three residents who reported sexual abuse present during the onsite phase of the audit. One of these residents consented to being interviewed. The resident stated that the allegation involved another resident and the resident was moved to another housing unit.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.373 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident in an agency facility, the agency subsequently informs the alleged victim whenever:

- The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or
- The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 35):

Following a youth's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another youth, IS shall inform the alleged victim whenever:

1. The Agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or
2. The Agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.

Review of resident outcome notifications:

The auditor reviewed the Provision of Information to Youth – Sexual Abuse form and observed that the form includes the required standard provisions. Examples documented include staff no longer being employed at the facility and staff relocation within the facility.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse:

See 115.373 (c).

Finding:

	<p>Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p> <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.373 (e)</p> <p>What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency has a policy that all notifications to residents described under this standard are documented.</p> <p>In the past 12 months:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of notifications to residents that were made pursuant to this standard: 6 • The number of those notifications that were documented: 6 <p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 35): All such notifications or attempted notifications shall be documented.</p> <p>Review of resident outcome notifications: See 115.373 (a).</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p> <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.373 (f) N/A</p>
--	--

115.376	Disciplinary sanctions for staff
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Terminations <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.376 (a)</p> <p>What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:</p>

Staff is subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 37):

Staff shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating Agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies pursuant to YS Policy No. A.2.1.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.376 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

In the past 12 months:

- The number of staff from the facility that have violated agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies: 2
- The number of those staff from the facility that have been terminated (or resigned prior to termination) for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies: 2

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 37):

Termination shall be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who has engaged in sexual abuse.

Review of records of terminations, resignations or other sanctions for violation of sexual abuse or harassment policies:

The auditor reviewed news articles and observed two terminations for violation of sexual abuse or harassment policies.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.376 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories.

In the past 12 months, zero staff from the facility that have been disciplined, short of termination, for violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.

	<p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 37): Disciplinary sanctions for violations of Agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories.</p> <p>Review of records of disciplinary sanctions taken against staff for violations of the agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies in the past 12 months: See 115.376 (b).</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p> <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.376 (d) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: All terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, are reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies.</p> <p>In the past 12 months, two staff from the facility were reported to law enforcement or licensing boards following termination, or resignation prior to termination, for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.</p> <p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 37): All terminations for violations of Agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who resigned to avoid termination in accordance with Civil Service Rules, shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	--

115.377	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire

(Juvenile Facilities)

- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025
- Interview with superintendent

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.377 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: Agency policy requires that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. Agency policy requires that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse be prohibited from contact with residents.

In the past 12 months, no contractors or volunteers have been reported to law enforcement agencies and relevant licensing bodies for engaging in sexual abuse of residents.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 38):

Any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse at a minimum shall be prohibited from contact with youths and shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.377 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The facility takes appropriate remedial measures and considers whether to prohibit further contact with residents in the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 38):

The facility shall take appropriate remedial measures and shall consider whether to prohibit further contact with youths, in the case of any other violation of Agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with superintendent:

The deputy director stated that if a contractor or volunteer violates the agency's sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, the facility takes immediate remedial action. They are prohibited from any further contact with residents.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this

provision and corrective action is not required.

115.378 Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:

- Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities)
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025
- Interview with superintendent
- Interview with medical and mental health staff

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.378 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: Residents are subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding that the resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse. Residents are subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse.

In the past 12 months:

- The number of administrative findings of resident-on-resident sexual abuse that have occurred at the facility: 0
- The number of criminal findings of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse that have occurred at the facility: 0

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 38):

Pursuant to YS Policy No. B.5.1, a youth may be subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding that the youth engaged in youth-on-youth sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for youth-on-youth sexual abuse.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.378 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
In the event a disciplinary sanction for resident-on resident sexual abuse results in the isolation of a resident, the facility policy requires that residents in isolation have daily access to large muscle exercise, legally required educational programming, and special education services. In the event a disciplinary sanction for resident-on resident sexual abuse results in the isolation of a resident, residents in isolation receive daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician. In the event a disciplinary sanction for resident-on resident sexual abuse results in the isolation of a resident, residents in isolation have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible.

In the past 12 months:

- The number of residents placed in isolation as a disciplinary sanction for resident-on resident sexual abuse: 0
- The number of residents placed in isolation as a disciplinary sanction for resident-on resident sexual abuse, who were denied daily access to large muscle exercise, and/or legally required educational programming, or special education services: N/A
- The number of residents placed in isolation as a disciplinary sanction for resident-on resident sexual abuse, who were denied access to other programs and work opportunities: N/A

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 38):

Any disciplinary sanctions shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the youth's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other youth with similar histories.

In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the placement of a youth in the Behavior Intervention (BI) Unit, the youth shall not be denied daily large-muscle exercise or access to any legally required educational programming or special education services. Youth in BI shall receive daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician. Youths shall also have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible. BI paperwork must be completed as per YS Policy B.2.21.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with superintendent:

The Deputy Director stated that disciplinary sanctions for residents following an administrative or criminal finding of resident-on-resident sexual abuse are determined in accordance with state law, including consideration of the resident's age and age of consent. Sanctions are proportionate to the nature and circumstances of the abuse, the resident's disciplinary history, and sanctions imposed for similar offenses by other residents with similar histories, consistent with the facility's code of conduct. The Deputy Director further stated that mental disability or mental illness is considered when determining sanctions and that isolation is not used as a disciplinary sanction.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.378 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 38):

The disciplinary process shall consider whether a youth's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, should be imposed.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with superintendent:

The deputy director stated mental disability, or mental illness is considered when determining sanctions.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.378 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct the underlying reasons or motivations for abuse. If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct the underlying reasons or motivations for abuse, the facility considers whether to require the offending resident to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to any rewards-based behavior management system or other behavior-based incentives. Access to general programming or education is not conditional on participation in such interventions.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 38):

The facility shall consider whether to offer the offending youth participation in such therapy, counseling or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse. Participation in such interventions may be required as a condition of access to any rewards-based behavior management system or other behavior-based incentives, but not as a condition to access to general programming or education.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with mental health staff:

The mental health coordinator confirmed the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other intervention services designed to address and correct the underlying reasons or motivations for sexual abuse to an offending resident. A resident's participation is not a condition of access to any rewards-based behavior management system or programming or education.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.378 (e)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency disciplines residents for sexual contact with staff only upon finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 39):

The Agency may discipline a youth for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.378 (f)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency prohibits disciplinary action for a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 39):

For the purpose of disciplinary action, a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred shall not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.378 (g)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency prohibits all sexual activity between residents. The agency deems such activity to constitute sexual abuse only if it determines that the activity is coerced.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 39):

All sexual activity between youths is prohibited. The Agency may, at its discretion, discipline youths for such activity. However, such activity shall not be deemed to constitute sexual abuse if it determines that the activity is not coerced.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

115.381 Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:

- Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities)
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Intake and Quarterly Staffing Screening and Housing Assessment dated August 2024
- Interview with staff responsible for risk screening
- Interviews with medical and mental health staff
- Interviews with residents who disclose sexual victimization at risk screening

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.381 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

All residents at this facility who have disclosed any prior sexual victimization during a screening pursuant to §115.341 are offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner. The follow-up meeting was offered within 14 days of the intake screening. Medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials (e.g., form, log) documenting compliance with the above required services. In the past 12 months, the percent of residents who disclosed prior victimization during screening who were offered a follow up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner: 100%

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 39):

If the screening indicates that a youth has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, staff shall ensure that the youth is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the direct admission screening. The youth would be seen immediately by the appropriate medical/mental health staff.

Document review:

There were no applicable follow-up meetings for the residents interviewed. The auditor reviewed the Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Intake and Quarterly Staffing Screening and Housing Assessment forms and the Individual Counseling Forms and observed that none of the residents disclosed any prior sexual victimization during screening.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with staff responsible for risk screening:

The Social Services Supervisor stated if a screening indicates that a resident has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether in an institutional setting or in the community, they are offered a follow-up meeting with a medical/and or mental health practitioner within 14 days.

Interviews with residents who disclose sexual victimization at risk screening:

No residents were identified during risk screening as having disclosed prior sexual victimization.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.381 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

All residents who have previously perpetrated sexual abuse, as indicated during the screening pursuant to § 115.341, are offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner. The follow-up meeting was offered within 14 days of the intake screening. Mental health staff maintain secondary materials (e.g., form, log) documenting compliance with the above required services.

In the past 12 months, the percent of residents of residents who previously perpetrated sexual abuse, as indicated during screening, who were offered a follow up meeting with a mental health practitioner: 100%

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 39):

If the screening indicates that a youth has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, staff shall ensure that the youth is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the direct admission screening.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with staff responsible for risk screening:

The Social Services Supervisor stated if a screening indicates that a resident has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether in an institutional setting, or in the community, they are offered a follow-up meeting with a medical/and or mental health practitioner within 14 days.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.381 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
Information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting is strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 39):
Any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting shall be strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff, as necessary, to inform treatment plans and security and management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.381 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
Medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from residents before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the resident is under the age of 18.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 39):
Medical and mental health practitioners shall obtain an informed consent from youth before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting unless the youth is under the age of 18.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with medical staff:

The nurse stated that informed consent is obtained by Mental Health staff prior to reporting information regarding prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting.

Interview with mental health staff:

The mental health coordinator stated that all residents are in OJJ custody, and that informed consent is obtained in accordance with PREA Standard 115.381 prior to reporting information regarding prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

115.382	Access to emergency medical and mental health services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:

- Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities)
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025
- Memorandum of agreement between Acadiana Center for Youth and Sexual Trauma Awareness and Response (STAR) dated April 14, 2022
- Email Correspondence with STAR
- Interviews with medical and mental health staff
- Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse
- Interviews with security staff and non-security staff first responders
- Site review

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.382 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: Resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services. The nature and scope of such services are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment. Medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials (e.g., form, log) documenting the timeliness of emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services that were provided; the appropriate response by non-health staff in the event health staff are not present at the time the incident is reported; and the provision of appropriate and timely information and services concerning contraception and sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 40):

Youth who are victims of sexual abuse shall receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment.

Document review:

Memorandum of agreement between Acadiana Center for Youth and Sexual Trauma Awareness and Response (STAR) dated April 14, 2022 and recent email correspondence with STAR stating that the organization would provide crisis intervention services.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with medical and mental health staff:

The nurse and the mental health coordinator both stated that the nature and scope of services provided at the facility are determined based on their professional judgment. The mental health coordinator added that service delivery follows a collaborative approach.

Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse:
There were three residents who reported sexual abuse present during the onsite phase of the audit. One of these residents consented to be interviewed. The resident stated that they had the opportunity to see medical or mental health staff in a timely manner after reporting the abuse and reported that staff immediately escorted them for services upon learning of the allegation.

Community outreach:

The auditor contacted Sexual Trauma Awareness and Response (STAR) and confirmed victim advocates would be available to provide emotional support services to a victim of sexual abuse.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.382 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent abuse is made, staff first responders shall take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.362 and shall immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 39):

If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent abuse is made, staff first responders shall take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to Section VIII above and shall immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with security staff and non-security staff first responders:

Staff first responders stated they would protect the victim and notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.382 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
Resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate. Medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials documenting the timeliness of emergency medical treatment and crisis

intervention services that were provided; the appropriate response by non-health staff in the event health staff are not present at the time the incident is reported; and the provision of appropriate and timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 39):
Youth victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated shall be offered timely information about, and timely access to, emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with medical staff:

The nurse stated victims of sexual abuse would be offered timely information about access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis offsite.

Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse:

See 115.382 (a).

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.382 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
Treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 40):

Treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

115.383	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:

- Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities)
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025
- Louisiana law, Act No. 229
- Interviews with medical and mental health staff
- Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.383 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The facility offers medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 40):

The facility shall offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all youth who have been victimized by sexual abuse regardless of where it occurred (any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility).

What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Site review:

Services would be available at the facility and offsite at Sexual Trauma Awareness and Response (STAR) and CHRISTUS St. Frances Cabrini Hospital.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.383 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 40):

The evaluation and treatment of such victims shall include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to or placement in other facilities, or their release from custody.

Review of medical records:

There were no medical records or secondary documentation.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with medical and mental health staff:

The nurse stated that evaluation and treatment for residents who have been victimized include mental health follow-up and observation.

The mental health coordinator stated that evaluation and treatment for residents who have been victimized includes providing weekly counseling services and making referrals through STAR

Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse:

There were three residents who reported sexual abuse present during the onsite phase of the audit. One of these residents consented to be interviewed. The resident stated that mental health staff spoke with them.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.383 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 40):

The facility shall provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care.

Review of medical records:

See 115.383 (b).

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with medical and mental health staff:

The nurse and mental health coordinator both stated medical and mental health services are consistent with the community level of care.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

115.383 (d) N/A

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie is an all-male facility.

115.383 (e) N/A

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie is an all-male facility.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.383 (f)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 41):

Youth victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated shall be offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate.

Review of medical records:

See 115.383 (b).

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with medical staff:

The nurse stated victims of sexual abuse shall be offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate.

Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse:

The resident interviewed did not report requiring tests for sexually transmitted infections.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.383 (g)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Treatment services are provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 41):

Treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

Louisiana law, Act No. 229 provides that any medical treatment services provided to a resident will be at no cost to him or his family.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with residents who reported a sexual abuse:

The resident interviewed did not report paying for any services.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.383 (h)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The facility attempts to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offers treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 41):

The facility shall attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known youth-on-youth abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer

	<p>treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners.</p> <p>Review of mental health records: There were no applicable mental health records to review.</p> <p>What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Interview with mental health staff: The mental health coordinator stated that mental health evaluations and treatment for known resident-on-resident abusers are handled by outside providers.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	--

115.386	Sexual abuse incident reviews
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p>
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p>
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • PREA Sexual Abuse Critical Incident Review Form • Interview with superintendent • Interview with PREA compliance manager • Interview with incident review team <p>Evidence (corrective action):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Corrective action statement (12/17/2025) <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.386 (a) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The facility conducts a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse criminal or administrative investigation unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded.</p> <p>In the past 12 months, the number of criminal and/or administrative investigations of alleged sexual abuse completed at the facility, excluding only “unfounded” incidents: 5</p>

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 41):
The facility shall conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded pursuant to YS Policy No. A.1.4.

Review of completed criminal or administrative investigations of sexual abuse:
The auditor reviewed three PREA Sexual Abuse Critical Incident Review Forms and observed the form is used to document incident reviews at the conclusion of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. The auditor observed incident reviews were not completed for two additional unsubstantiated allegations of sexual abuse.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is completed.

The agency provided a corrective action statement dated 12/17/2025 indicating that Sexual Abuse Incident Review Team meetings will be conducted for all substantiated and unsubstantiated allegations of sexual abuse.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.386 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
The facility ordinarily conducts a sexual abuse incident review within 30 days of the conclusion of the criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigation.

In the past 12 months, the number of criminal and/or administrative investigations of alleged sexual abuse completed at the facility that were followed by a sexual abuse incident review within 30 days, excluding only "unfounded" incidents: 3

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 41):
Such review shall occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation.

Review of completed criminal or administrative investigations of sexual abuse:
The auditor reviewed three PREA Sexual Abuse Critical Incident Review Forms and observed two were completed within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. The date of the third review was not able to be determined.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.386 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The sexual abuse incident review team includes upper-level management officials and allows for input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 42):

The review team shall include the Youth Facilities Director - Statewide or their designee, PREA Compliance Manager, and upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners.

Documentation of review team minutes or reports:

The auditor reviewed the Sexual Abuse Incident Review form and observed that the review includes a team approach.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with superintendent:

The deputy director stated the facility has a sexual abuse incident review team; the team includes upper-level management officials and allows for input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.386 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The facility prepares a report of its findings from sexual abuse incident reviews, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1)-(d)(5) of this section, and any recommendations for improvement and submits such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 42):

The review team shall:

1. Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect or respond to sexual abuse;
2. Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; LGBTIQ identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the facility;
3. Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse;
4. Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts;
5. Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or

augmented to supplement supervision by staff; and

IS shall prepare the Sexual Abuse Critical Incident Review Form, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to subparagraphs (4)(a)-(4)(e) of this section, and any recommendations for improvement. IS shall complete Sections A and B of the Incident Review Form and submit the completed form to the Facility Director, PREA Compliance Manager, and PREA Coordinator within 24 hours of the incident review.

Documentation of review team minutes or reports:

The auditor reviewed the Sexual Abuse Incident Review form and observed the form includes the standard provision requirements.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interview with superintendent/incident review team:

The Deputy Director stated that information from sexual abuse incident reviews is used to make any corrections needed, including identifying policy, training, or practice issues that may require change. The Deputy Director further stated that the review team considers whether incidents or allegations were motivated by race, ethnicity, gang affiliation, or other group dynamics. The review team examines the area where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers may have enabled abuse, assesses the adequacy of staffing levels during different shifts, and evaluates whether monitoring technology should be deployed or enhanced to supplement staff supervision.

Interview with PREA Compliance Manager:

The PREA Compliance Manager stated that the facility prepares written reports documenting the findings of sexual abuse incident reviews, including determinations required under PREA Standard 115.386(d)(1) through (d)(5), as well as any recommendations for improvement. They further stated that these reports are forwarded to her for review. They reported that no trends have been identified at this time. Following submission of the reports, recommendations are addressed with agency leadership and discussed during facility planning meetings.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.386 (e)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The facility implements the recommendations for improvement or documents its reasons for not doing so.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 42):

The Facility Director and PREA Compliance Manager shall review the recommendations in the Sexual Abuse Critical Incident Review Form within 10 days

	<p>of the incident review. The facility shall implement any recommendations for improvement, or shall document its reasons for not doing so in Section C of the Sexual Abuse Critical Incident Review Form. After reviewing the recommendations and making the appropriate notations in Section C, the Facility Director or designee and PREA Compliance Manager must sign the form and the PREA Compliance Manager must then forward the completed form to the PREA Coordinator and maintain a copy at the facility.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	---

115.387	Data collection
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p>
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p>
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Survey of Sexual Victimization Substantiated Incident Form (Juvenile) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice 2024 Annual PREA Report <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.387 (a) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency collects accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The standardized instrument includes, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Victimization conducted by the Department of Justice.</p> <p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 43): The Agency shall collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions.</p> <p>Review of incident-based data collection: The auditor reviewed the Survey of Sexual Victimization Substantiated Incident Form (Juvenile) for verification the agency uses a standardized instrument and set of definitions.</p>

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.387 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
The agency aggregates the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 43):
The Agency shall aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually.

Review of incident-based data collection:
The auditor reviewed aggregated data from 2015 - 2024.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.387 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
The standardized instrument includes, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Victimization (SSV) conducted by the Department of Justice.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 43):
The incident-based data collected shall include the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the "Survey of Sexual Violence" conducted by the U.S. DOJ.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.387 (d)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
The agency maintains, reviews, and collects data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 43):
The Agency shall maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews.

Review of incident-based documents:
The auditor reviewed incident-based documents including investigative reports for allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, unusual occurrence reports, and sexual abuse incident reviews team meeting reports for the 12 month audit period.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.387 (e)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice does contract for the confinement of its residents.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 43):
The Agency shall collect data which can be utilized to reduce the risk of sexual abuse and sexual harassment occurring within its secure care and contract facilities.

Review of incident-based and aggregated data from private facilities:
The auditor reviewed the Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice 2024 Annual PREA Report and observed the report includes incident-based and aggregated data from private facilities.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.387 (f)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
The Department of Justice (DOJ) requested data from the previous calendar year.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 43):
Upon request, all such data from the previous calendar year shall be provided to the U.S. DOJ no later than June 30th.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

115.388	Data review for corrective action
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:

- Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities)
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025
- Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice 2024 Annual PREA Report
- Interview with agency head
- Interview with PREA coordinator
- Interview with PREA compliance manager

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.388 (a)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

The agency reviews data collected and aggregated pursuant to §115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, and training, including:

- Identifying problem areas;
- Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and
- Preparing an annual report of its findings from its data review and any corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 44):

The Agency shall review data collected and aggregated in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including: identifying problem areas; taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the Agency as a whole.

Review of documentation of corrective action plans:

The auditor reviewed the Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice 2024 Annual PREA Report

and observed the report includes identified problem areas and corrective actions taken during the year.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with agency head, PREA Coordinator, and PREA Compliance Manager:

The Deputy Secretary, PREA Coordinator, and PREA Compliance Manager confirmed the agency reviews data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess, and improve the effectiveness, of its sexual abuse and prevention, detection, and response policies, and training.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.388 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
The annual report includes a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years. The annual report provides an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 44):
Such report shall include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and shall provide an assessment of the Agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse.

Review of annual reports:

The auditor reviewed the Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice 2024 Annual PREA Report

and observed the report provides an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse and a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.388 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:
The agency makes its annual report readily available to the public at least annually through its website. The annual reports are approved by the agency head.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 44):
The Agency may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility but must indicate the nature of the material redacted.

Agency website review:

The auditor reviewed the agency's website at <https://ojj.la.gov/page/resources-r-reports> and observed the annual reports are published. The reports are approved by the Deputy Secretary.

What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Interviews with agency head:

The Deputy Secretary stated they approve annual reports.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

	<p>115.388 (d)</p> <p>What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: When the agency redacts material from an annual report for publication the redactions are limited to specific materials where publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of the facility. The agency indicates the nature of material redacted.</p> <p>Review of published annual reports: The auditor reviewed the Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice 2024 Annual PREA Report and observed no personal identifying information.</p> <p>What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Interviews with PREA Coordinator: The PREA Coordinator stated that materials typically redacted from the annual report include personally identifiable information.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	---

115.389	Data storage, publication, and destruction
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual, 7th edition, dated 2025 • Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice 2024 Annual PREA Report • Interview with PREA coordinator <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.389 (a)</p> <p>What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency ensures that incident-based and aggregate data are securely retained.</p> <p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 45): The Agency shall ensure that data collected pursuant to Section XIII.B of this Section are securely retained in the PREA Database with limited staff access.</p> <p>What was heard, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Interview with PREA coordinator:</p>

The PREA Coordinator confirmed the agency ensures that data collected pursuant to § 115.387 are securely retained. All data collected is placed in locked file cabinets and/or in the Investigative Services Data Base and PREA Database. Any request by outside sources for information must be made through the Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice legal department and identifying information redacted prior to release.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.389 (b)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Agency policy requires that aggregated sexual abuse data from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts be made readily available to the public, at least annually, through its website.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 45):

After removal of personal identifiers, the Agency shall make all aggregated sexual abuse data from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its OJJ website.

Website review:

The auditor reviewed the agency's website at <https://ojj.la.gov/page/resources-reports> and observed the 2017 - 2024 Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Annual PREA Reports are published.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.389 (c)

What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence:

Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated:

Before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available, the agency removes all personal identifiers.

Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 45):

After removal of personal identifiers, the Agency shall make all aggregated sexual abuse data from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its OJJ website.

Review of publicly available sexual abuse data:

The auditor reviewed the 2017 - 2024 Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice Annual PREA Reports and observed the reports do not include personal identifiers.

	<p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p> <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.389 (d) What was read, as part of a systematic review of evidence: Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie Pre-Audit Questionnaire indicated: The agency maintains sexual abuse data sexual abuse data collected pursuant to §115.387 for at least 10 years after the date of initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise.</p> <p>Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Manual (page 45): The Agency shall maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to Section XIII.B of this Section for at least ten (10) years after the date of its initial collection unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.</p>
--	--

115.401	Frequency and scope of audits
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities) • Interviews • Research • Policy Review • Document Review • Observations during onsite review of facility <p>Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.401 (a) During the three-year period beginning on August 20, 2013, and during each subsequent three-year period, Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice has ensured that every facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on its behalf, has been audited at least once.</p> <p>Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this</p>

provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.401 (b)

See 115.401 (a).

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.401 (h)

The auditor was given access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.401 (i)

The auditor was permitted to request and receive copies of all relevant documents (including electronically stored information).

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.401 (m)

The auditor was permitted to conduct private interviews with residents at the facility.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Reasoning and analysis (by provision):

115.401 (n):

The auditor sent an audit notice to the facility six weeks prior to the on-site audit. The facility confirmed the audit notice was posted by emailing pictures of the posted audit notices. The audit notice contained contact information for the auditor. The residents were permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel. No confidential information or correspondence was received.

Finding:

Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

115.403	Audit contents and findings
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Evidence relied upon in making the compliance determinations: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Acadiana Center for Youth at Bunkie PREA Audit: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (Juvenile Facilities)• Website Review• Document Review
	Reasoning and analysis (by provision): 115.403 (f): What was observed as part of a systematic review of evidence: The auditor reviewed the Louisiana Office of Juvenile Justice PREA Reports and Resources. Final PREA Audit Reports are published on the agency’s website at https://ojj.la.gov/page/resources-reports .
	Finding: Based on this analysis, the facility is substantially compliant with this provision and corrective action is not required.

Appendix: Provision Findings		
115.311 (a)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.311 (b)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?	yes
115.311 (c)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
	Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
115.312 (a)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents	
	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its residents with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to adopt and comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.)	yes
115.312 (b)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents	

	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents OR the response to 115.312(a)-1 is "NO".)	yes
115.313 (a)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Does the agency ensure that each facility has developed a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility has implemented a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility has documented a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Generally accepted juvenile detention and correctional/secure residential practices?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any judicial findings of inadequacy?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate	yes

	staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies?	
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or residents may be isolated)?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The composition of the resident population?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The number and placement of supervisory staff?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Institution programs occurring on a particular shift?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any other relevant factors?	yes
115.313 (b)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Does the agency comply with the staffing plan except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances?	yes
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility fully document all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)	yes
115.313 (c)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Does the facility maintain staff ratios of a minimum of 1:8 during resident waking hours, except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)	yes

	Does the facility maintain staff ratios of a minimum of 1:16 during resident sleeping hours, except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)	yes
	Does the facility fully document any limited and discrete exigent circumstances during which the facility did not maintain staff ratios? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)	yes
	Does the facility ensure only security staff are included when calculating these ratios? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)	yes
	Is the facility obligated by law, regulation, or judicial consent decree to maintain the staffing ratios set forth in this paragraph?	yes
115.313 (d)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: Prevailing staffing patterns?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan?	yes
115.313 (e)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Has the facility implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A for non-secure facilities)	yes
	Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? (N/A for non-secure facilities)	yes
	Does the facility have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational	yes

	functions of the facility? (N/A for non-secure facilities)	
115.315 (a)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes
115.315 (b)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches in non-exigent circumstances?	yes
115.315 (c)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility document and justify all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches?	yes
115.315 (d)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility implement policies and procedures that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering a resident housing unit?	yes
	In facilities (such as group homes) that do not contain discrete housing units, does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing? (N/A for facilities with discrete housing units)	yes
115.315 (e)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na

115.315 (f)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.316 (a)	Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are blind or have low vision?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have speech disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other? (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective	yes

	communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing?	
	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Who are blind or have low vision?	yes
115.316 (b)	Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
115.316 (c)	Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.364, or the investigation of the resident's allegations?	yes
115.317 (a)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual	yes

	abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the bullet immediately above?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
115.317 (b)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with residents?	yes
115.317 (c)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Consult any child abuse registry	yes

	maintained by the State or locality in which the employee would work?	
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes
115.317 (d)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents?	yes
	Does the agency consult applicable child abuse registries before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents?	yes
115.317 (e)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes
115.317 (f)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?	yes
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes
115.317 (g)	Hiring and promotion decisions	

	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes
115.317 (h)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Unless prohibited by law, does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	yes
115.318 (a)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	na
115.318 (b)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	yes
115.321 (a)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.321	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	

(b)		
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.321 (c)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency offer all residents who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes
115.321 (d)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes
	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes
115.321	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	

(e)		
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes
115.321 (f)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating entity follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse.)	na
115.321 (h)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (Check N/A if agency attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims per 115.321(d) above.)	na
115.322 (a)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes
115.322 (b)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency have a policy in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes

	Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
	Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes
115.322 (c)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does such publication describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.321(a))	na
115.331 (a)	Employee training	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in juvenile facilities?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The common reactions of juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse and how to distinguish between consensual sexual contact and sexual abuse between residents?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents?	yes

	The subsection of this provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent?	yes
115.331 (b)	Employee training	
	Is such training tailored to the unique needs and attributes of residents of juvenile facilities?	yes
	Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee's facility?	yes
	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa?	yes
115.331 (c)	Employee training	
	Have all current employees who may have contact with residents received such training?	yes
	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?	yes
	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.331 (d)	Employee training	
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes
115.332 (a)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who	yes

	have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	
115.332 (b)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents)?	yes
115.332 (c)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes
115.333 (a)	Resident education	
	During intake, do residents receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do residents receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Is this information presented in an age-appropriate fashion?	yes
115.333 (b)	Resident education	
	Within 10 days of intake, does the agency provide age-appropriate comprehensive education to residents either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Within 10 days of intake, does the agency provide age-appropriate comprehensive education to residents either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
	Within 10 days of intake, does the agency provide age-appropriate comprehensive education to residents either in person or through	yes

	video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	
115.333 (c)	Resident education	
	Have all residents received such education?	yes
	Do residents receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the resident's new facility differ from those of the previous facility?	yes
115.333 (d)	Resident education	
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are limited English proficient?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are deaf?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are visually impaired?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are otherwise disabled?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
115.333 (e)	Resident education	
	Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions?	yes
115.333 (f)	Resident education	
	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats?	yes
115.334 (a)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.331, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its	yes

	investigators have received training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	
115.334 (b)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does this specialized training include: Techniques for interviewing juvenile sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include: Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include: Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include: The criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes
115.334 (c)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes
115.335 (a)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and	yes

	mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
115.335 (b)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.)	na
115.335 (c)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
115.335 (d)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.331? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by	yes

	and volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.332? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.)	
115.341 (a)	Obtaining information from residents	
	Within 72 hours of the resident's arrival at the facility, does the agency obtain and use information about each resident's personal history and behavior to reduce risk of sexual abuse by or upon a resident?	yes
	Does the agency also obtain this information periodically throughout a resident's confinement?	yes
115.341 (b)	Obtaining information from residents	
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?	yes
115.341 (c)	Obtaining information from residents	
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Prior sexual victimization or abusiveness?	yes
	The subsection of this provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Current charges and offense history?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Age?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Level of emotional and cognitive development?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Physical size and stature?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Mental illness or mental disabilities?	yes

	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Intellectual or developmental disabilities?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Physical disabilities?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: The resident's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Any other specific information about individual residents that may indicate heightened needs for supervision, additional safety precautions, or separation from certain other residents?	yes
115.341 (d)	Obtaining information from residents	
	Is this information ascertained: Through conversations with the resident during the intake process and medical mental health screenings?	yes
	Is this information ascertained: During classification assessments?	yes
	Is this information ascertained: By reviewing court records, case files, facility behavioral records, and other relevant documentation from the resident's files?	yes
115.341 (e)	Obtaining information from residents	
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident's detriment by staff or other residents?	yes
115.342 (a)	Placement of residents	
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Housing Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Bed assignments?	yes

	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Work Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Education Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Program Assignments?	yes
115.342 (b)	Placement of residents	
	Are residents isolated from others only as a last resort when less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other residents safe, and then only until an alternative means of keeping all residents safe can be arranged?	yes
	During any period of isolation, does the agency always refrain from denying residents daily large-muscle exercise?	yes
	During any period of isolation, does the agency always refrain from denying residents any legally required educational programming or special education services?	yes
	Do residents in isolation receive daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician?	yes
	Do residents also have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes
115.342 (c)	Placement of residents	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na

115.342 (d)	Placement of residents	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.342 (e)	Placement of residents	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.342 (f)	Placement of residents	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.342 (g)	Placement of residents	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.342 (h)	Placement of residents	
	If a resident is isolated pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the resident's safety? (N/A for h and i if facility doesn't use isolation?)	na
	If a resident is isolated pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged? (N/A for h and i if facility doesn't use isolation?)	na
115.342 (i)	Placement of residents	
	In the case of each resident who is isolated as a last resort when less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other residents safe, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS?	yes
115.351	Resident reporting	

(a)		
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: 2. Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes
115.351 (b)	Resident reporting	
	Does the agency also provide at least one way for residents to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?	yes
	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward resident reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	yes
	Does that private entity or office allow the resident to remain anonymous upon request?	yes
	Are residents detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security to report sexual abuse or harassment?	yes
115.351 (c)	Resident reporting	
	Do staff members accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Do staff members promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.351 (d)	Resident reporting	
	Does the facility provide residents with access to tools necessary to make a written report?	yes
115.351 (e)	Resident reporting	

	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents?	yes
115.352 (a)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	yes
115.352 (b)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency permit residents to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an resident to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.352 (c)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency ensure that: A resident who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.352 (d)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by residents in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this	yes

	standard.)	
	If the agency determines that the 90 day timeframe is insufficient to make an appropriate decision and claims an extension of time (the maximum allowable extension of time to respond is 70 days per 115.352(d)(3)) , does the agency notify the resident in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the resident does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may a resident consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.352 (e)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Are third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of residents? (If a third party, other than a parent or legal guardian, files such a request on behalf of a resident, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the resident declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the resident's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Is a parent or legal guardian of a juvenile allowed to file a grievance regarding allegations of sexual abuse, including appeals, on behalf of such juvenile? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If a parent or legal guardian of a juvenile files a grievance (or an appeal) on behalf of a juvenile regarding allegations of sexual abuse, is it the case that those grievances are not conditioned upon the juvenile agreeing to have the request filed on his or her behalf? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.352	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	

(f)		
	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the resident is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.352 (g)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	If the agency disciplines a resident for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.353 (a)	Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation	
	Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by providing, posting, or otherwise making accessible mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline	yes

	numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?	
	Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies?	yes
	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible?	yes
115.353 (b)	Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation	
	Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.353 (c)	Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation	
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes
115.353 (d)	Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation	
	Does the facility provide residents with reasonable and confidential access to their attorneys or other legal representation?	yes
	Does the facility provide residents with reasonable access to parents or legal guardians?	yes
115.354 (a)	Third-party reporting	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a resident?	yes

115.361 (a)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding retaliation against residents or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	yes
115.361 (b)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the agency require all staff to comply with any applicable mandatory child abuse reporting laws?	yes
115.361 (c)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials and designated State or local services agencies, are staff prohibited from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	yes
115.361 (d)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse to designated supervisors and officials pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section as well as to the designated State or local services agency where required by mandatory reporting laws?	yes
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform residents of their duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	yes

115.361 (e)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Upon receiving any allegation of sexual abuse, does the facility head or his or her designee promptly report the allegation to the appropriate office?	yes
	Upon receiving any allegation of sexual abuse, does the facility head or his or her designee promptly report the allegation to the alleged victim's parents or legal guardians unless the facility has official documentation showing the parents or legal guardians should not be notified?	yes
	If the alleged victim is under the guardianship of the child welfare system, does the facility head or his or her designee promptly report the allegation to the alleged victim's caseworker instead of the parents or legal guardians? (N/A if the alleged victim is not under the guardianship of the child welfare system.)	yes
	If a juvenile court retains jurisdiction over the alleged victim, does the facility head or designee also report the allegation to the juvenile's attorney or other legal representative of record within 14 days of receiving the allegation?	yes
115.361 (f)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes
115.362 (a)	Agency protection duties	
	When the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the resident?	yes
115.363 (a)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
	Does the head of the facility that received the allegation also notify the appropriate investigative agency?	yes

115.363 (b)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes
115.363 (c)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes
115.363 (d)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?	yes
115.364 (a)	Staff first responder duties	
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
115.364 (b)	Staff first responder duties	

	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes
115.365 (a)	Coordinated response	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
115.366 (a)	Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers	
	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	yes
115.367 (a)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff?	yes
	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes
115.367 (b)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services?	yes
115.367 (c)	Agency protection against retaliation	

	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Any resident disciplinary reports?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Resident housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Resident program changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Reassignments of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes
115.367 (d)	Agency protection against retaliation	

	In the case of residents, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes
115.367 (e)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes
115.368 (a)	Post-allegation protective custody	
	Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect a resident who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.342?	yes
115.371 (a)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal investigations of sexual abuse or harassment. See 115.321(a).)	yes
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal investigations of sexual abuse or harassment. See 115.321(a).)	yes
115.371 (b)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations involving juvenile victims as required by 115.334?	yes
115.371 (c)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	yes
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes

115.371 (d)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency always refrain from terminating an investigation solely because the source of the allegation recants the allegation?	yes
115.371 (e)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes
115.371 (f)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as resident or staff?	yes
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes
115.371 (g)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes
	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes
115.371 (h)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes
115.371 (i)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be	yes

	criminal referred for prosecution?	
115.371 (j)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.371(g) and (h) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years unless the abuse was committed by a juvenile resident and applicable law requires a shorter period of retention?	yes
115.371 (k)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility or agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	yes
115.371 (m)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	na
115.372 (a)	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations	
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes
115.373 (a)	Reporting to residents	
	Following an investigation into a resident's allegation of sexual abuse suffered in the facility, does the agency inform the resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?	yes
115.373 (b)	Reporting to residents	
	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into a resident's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the resident? (N/A if the agency/facility is	yes

	responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)	
115.373 (c)	Reporting to residents	
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
115.373 (d)	Reporting to residents	
	Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse	yes

	within the facility?	
115.373 (e)	Reporting to residents	
	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes
115.376 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.376 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes
115.376 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes
115.376 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal?	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.377 (a)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with residents?	yes

	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.377 (b)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents?	yes
115.378 (a)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Following an administrative finding that a resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse, may residents be subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes
115.378 (b)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Are disciplinary sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories?	yes
	In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the agency ensure the resident is not denied daily large-muscle exercise?	yes
	In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the agency ensure the resident is not denied access to any legally required educational programming or special education services?	yes
	In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the agency ensure the resident receives daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician?	yes
	In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the resident also have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes
115.378	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	

(c)		
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes
115.378 (d)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to offer the offending resident participation in such interventions?	yes
	If the agency requires participation in such interventions as a condition of access to any rewards-based behavior management system or other behavior-based incentives, does it always refrain from requiring such participation as a condition to accessing general programming or education?	yes
115.378 (e)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Does the agency discipline a resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?	yes
115.378 (f)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action, does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	yes
115.378 (g)	Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between residents to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between residents.)	yes
115.381 (a)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.341 indicates that a resident has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that	yes

	the resident is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening?	
115.381 (b)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.341 indicates that a resident has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the resident is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening?	yes
115.381 (c)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?	yes
115.381 (d)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from residents before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the resident is under the age of 18?	yes
115.382 (a)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Do resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?	yes
115.382 (b)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.362?	yes
	Do staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate	yes

	medical and mental health practitioners?	
115.382 (c)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are resident victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?	yes
115.382 (d)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.383 (a)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes
115.383 (b)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes
115.383 (c)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes
115.383 (d)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are resident victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if all-male facility.)	na
115.383 (e)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph §	na

	115.383(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if all-male facility.)	
115.383 (f)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes
115.383 (g)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.383 (h)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners?	yes
115.386 (a)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	yes
115.386 (b)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes
115.386 (c)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes
115.386 (d)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or	yes

	investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	
	The subsection of this provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.386(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes
115.386 (e)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes
115.387 (a)	Data collection	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes
115.387 (b)	Data collection	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes
115.387 (c)	Data collection	
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes

115.387 (d)	Data collection	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes
115.387 (e)	Data collection	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its residents.)	yes
115.387 (f)	Data collection	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	yes
115.388 (a)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	yes
115.388 (b)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in	yes

	addressing sexual abuse?	
115.388 (c)	Data review for corrective action	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.388 (d)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	yes
115.389 (a)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.387 are securely retained?	yes
115.389 (b)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.389 (c)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes
115.389 (d)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.387 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes
115.401 (a)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once?	yes

	(Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	
115.401 (b)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	na
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	na
115.401 (h)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes
115.401 (i)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes
115.401 (m)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?	yes
115.401 (n)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Were inmates, residents, and detainees permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	yes
115.403 (f)	Audit contents and findings	
	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or	yes

	<p>has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)</p>	
--	--	--